#### EPPING FOREST DISTRICT COUNCIL COUNCIL MINUTES

Committee:	Council	Date:	21 February 2006
Place:	Civic Offices, Epping	Time:	7.30 - 9.45 pm
Members Present:	Councillors B Sandler (Chairman), Stephens, S Barnes, D Batem Mrs P Brooks, R Chidley, M Coher J Demetriou, R D'Souza, T Farr, Mr Mrs A Grigg, R Haines, Mrs H Ha D Kelly, J Knapman, Mrs J Lea, A Lo P McMillan, S Metcalfe, R M Mrs P Richardson, T Richardson, M Mrs P Smith, D Stallan, Ms S Mrs J H Whitehouse, J M Whitehous	aan, Mr a, M Collir s R Gadsl arding, J ee, J Mark lorgan, Mrs P K R S Stavrou,	s M Boatman, Mrs D Borton, ng, Mrs D Collins, Mrs J Davis, by, P Gode, R Goold, A Green, Hart, M Heavens, D Jacobs, tham, L Martin, Mrs M McEwen, Mrs S Perry, Mrs C Pond, ush, Mrs M Sartin, B Scrutton, G Stollar, C Whitbread,
Apologies:	Councillors K Faulkner, R Glozier, F	Maclaine,	S Murray and D Spinks
Officers	J Scott (Joint Chief Executive), P H	laywood (	Joint Chief Executive), I Willett

OfficersJ Scott (Joint Chief Executive), P Haywood (Joint Chief Executive), I Willett<br/>(Head of Research and Democratic Services), J Preston (Head of Planning<br/>and Economic Development), R Palmer (Head of Finance), G Lunnun<br/>(Democratic Services Manager) and T Carne (Public Relations and Marketing<br/>Officer)

#### 70. MINUTES

#### **RESOLVED:**

That the minutes of the Council meeting held on 13 December 2005 be taken as read and signed by the Chairman as a correct record.

#### 71. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

(a) Pursuant to the Council's Code of Member Conduct, Councillors Mrs D Collins, Mrs A Haigh, R Morgan, D Stallan and Ms S-A Stavrou declared personal interests in agenda item 13(a) (Election of Verderers of Epping Forest – 2006) by virtue of being acquainted to one of the candidates seeking re-election. They declared that their interests were not prejudicial and that they would remain in the meeting for the duration of the consideration of the item and voting thereon.

(b) Pursuant to the Council's Code of Member Conduct, Councillor D Stallan declared a personal interest in agenda item 5(a) (Report of the Cabinet – Supplementary Estimates – 2005/06) (Recommendation 2). He declared that his interest was not prejudicial and that he would remain in the meeting for the duration of the consideration of the item and voting thereon.

#### 72. ANNOUNCEMENTS

#### (1) Chairman's Announcements

(a) Celebration of Faith Service – 5 February 2006

The Chairman thanked members and officers for the support given to the Celebration of Faith Service held at Theydon Bois Village Hall. He said that he considered the event to have been a great success and he had received many letters of thanks from persons who had attended.

#### (b) Chairman's Quiz Night – 24 February 2006

The Chairman thanked members and officers for supporting his quiz night which had been sold out.

#### (c) Charity Walk – 2 April 2006

Members noted that the Chairman's Charity Walk would begin at the Lambourne End Centre, Manor Road, Lambourne End at 11 am and would follow a circular route of approximately 4 miles. He expressed the hope that he would be joined by many members and officers and requested that they returned the forms which had been sent to them.

#### (d) Flowers

The Chairman announced that the flowers from tonight's meeting would be sent to St Clare Hospice, Hastingwood.

#### (2) Member Computer Training – Award of Certificates

The Chairman handed certificates to those members who had completed recent IT training.

#### 73. PUBLIC QUESTIONS

#### (a) Street Cleansing – Epping

#### By Councillor B Rolfe, Epping Town Mayor to Councillor D Jacobs, Portfolio Holder for Environmental Protection

"As a member of Epping Town Council and the current Town Mayor, I have received a number of complaints about the decline in local street cleaning throughout the town. Would the Portfolio Holder for Environmental Protection comment whether this has anything to do with the recent problems concerning the Council's waste contractors delivering their collection services."

# Response by Councillor D Jacobs, Portfolio Holder for Environmental Protection

"It is the case that operational difficulties with the Council's waste collection service may sometimes impact upon street cleansing. However, Epping High Street has a dedicated street cleansing resource consisting of two crews, one with a cleansing barrow and the other with a motorised sweeper who are present throughout most of the day. This should result in the main High Street areas being cleansed to a reasonable standard. Councillor Rolfe in his question refers to street cleansing "throughout the town" and it would be helpful if he could provide officers with precise details of the locations so that they can investigate his concerns in detail".

#### 74. REPORTS OF THE CABINET

#### (a) Supplementary Estimates 2005-06

# (Mover: Councillor J Knapman – Portfolio Holder for Finance and Performance Management)

The Portfolio Holder for Finance and Performance Management presented a report regarding requests for DDF and CSB supplementary estimates.

Report as first moved **ADOPTED** 

#### **RESOLVED**:

(1) That a DDF supplementary estimate of  $\pounds 9,000$  be approved for 2005/06 in order to offset the loss of income and meet the costs associated with providing free car parking spaces at the Quaker Lane car park in Waltham Abbey;

(2) That a DDF supplementary estimate of £110,000 be approved for 2005/06 to enable a one-off payment to be made to the Council's waste management contractor, South Herts Waste Management, relating to end of lease vehicle costs and monthly payments for the contractor in relation to the collection of garden waste; and

(3) That a CSB supplementary estimate of £8,000 be approved for 2005/06 to enable graffiti removal services to be undertaken during the remainder of the municipal year.

#### (b) Local Area Agreement for Essex

# (Mover: Councillor J Knapman – Portfolio Holder for Finance and Performance Management)

The Portfolio Holder for Finance and Performance Management presented a report regarding the Local Area Agreement for Essex which was a contract between local and central government intended to secure improvement across a number of areas for a three year period. The Portfolio Holder reported that since the matter had been considered by the Cabinet a "near final" draft of the Agreement had been released which differed from the one considered by the Cabinet. As a result of the Government's recently launched "Respect Agenda" one of the priorities in the Local Area Agreement had been changed to two priorities. The revised draft had also introduced the possibility of achieving stretch performance and reward grant by incorporating the Local Authority Local Public Service Agreement but no real detail had been given. In view of these changes the Portfolio Holder sought leave of the Council to amend the recommendations of the Cabinet.

Members noted that in relation to the financial implications, the Council would be identifying aligned funds which would mean the sums would contribute towards the priorities but would continue to be managed by the Council.

Report as amended by the Portfolio Holder **ADOPTED** 

#### **RESOLVED:**

That the Council supports the principles of the Local Area Agreement in general, recognising that all of the targets could see benefits for the population of the Epping Forest District and, in particular, agrees to target its activities on the following priorities and notes the suggested addition of priority 8:

Priority 3 – ensure development is designed to promote healthier living in the built environment (both targets);

(8)(new) – keep vulnerable children and young people safe; these reflect the Council's housing duties and participation in the Crime and Disorder Reduction Partnership (three targets, one of which carries reward grant);

Priority 10 (amended) – reduce crime, the harm caused by illegal drugs and reassure the public, reducing the fear of crime (four targets, one of which carries reward grants);

Priority 11 (amended) – build respect in communities and reduce anti-social behaviour (one target); and

Priority 12 (renumbered) – actively manage our environment (three targets all of which carry a reward grant).

#### (c) Council Budgets and Council Tax Declaration 2006/07

# (Mover: Councillor J Knapman, Finance and Performance Management Portfolio Holder)

The Portfolio Holder submitted a report on the budgets and Council Tax declaration for 2006/07 and drew attention to two tabled schedules, an amended Annex 3 (revenue expenditure, income and financing) and Annex 7 (analysis of major precepting authorities and a summary of Council Tax rates including all precepts). He apologised for the tabled schedules but pointed that the final grant figures from central government had shown a difference of £630 between the previously rounded-up figures and the actual figures and this had necessitated fresh calculations. Also, the Cabinet at its meeting on 6 February 2006 had considered several further bids for growth in 2006/07 which had to be taken into account. The Portfolio Holder sought leave of the Council to change the figures in recommendations 7(b), (c) and (d) of the report as follows in order to reflect the final grant figure:

- (b) £60,149,495;
- (c) £18,374,224; and
- (d) £8,632,408

The Portfolio Holder reported on the process for preparing the budget which had involved all members through Overview and Scrutiny, the Finance and Performance Management Cabinet Committee and the Cabinet. The Council was informed that the proposed increase of 2.46% in the District Council Tax was the lowest in Essex and as a result, the District Council Tax would be the third lowest in the County. If the Council's guideline to link Council Tax increases to the retail price index only in the following three years was achieved and the two authorities at present setting a lower Council Tax continued with their current trends, this Council would be setting

the lowest District Council Tax in the county in two years time. He said that some members had questioned why the District Council Tax had to be raised at all in view of the Council's favourable financial position. In response he had pointed out that such an approach would result in the Council's longer term guidelines regarding balances being breached in the fourth year of the four year forecast.

The Portfolio Holder drew attention to the main items in the CSB growth/savings schedule. He advised that there would be a net increase in growth of £773,000 which included a sum of £582,000 in respect of changes to the concessionary fares scheme. A net amount of £996,000 was proposed for DDF (one-off expenditure).

The Council noted the four-year forecast for 2006/07-2009/10 including the proposed use of balances over those years.

The Portfolio Holder thanked the members and officers for the work they had undertaken in relation to the budget.

The Head of Finance drew attention to the Annexes regarding the Council's prudential indicators and treasury management strategy and his report as Chief Financial Officer on the robustness of the estimates for the purpose of the Council's 2006/07 budgets and the adequacy of the reserves.

The Portfolio Holder answered questions from members on the proposals. Members thanked the Portfolio Holder and the officers for achieving a prudent budget.

Report as amended by the Portfolio Holder **ADOPTED** 

#### **RESOLVED**:

#### Budget

(1) That the list of CSB growth for the 2006/07 budget (set out in Annex 1) be approved;

(2) That the list of District Development Fund items for the 2006/07 budget (set out in Annex 2) be approved;

(3) That the revenue estimates for 2006/07 and the draft Capital Programme for 2006/07 be approved as set out in Annexes 3, 4(a)-(k) and 5 including all contributions to and from reserves as set out in the attached Annexes;

(4) That the four-year financial forecast be approved as set out in Annexes 8A and 8B;

(5) That the 2006/07 HRA budget be approved and that the application of rent increases and decreases in accordance with the Government's rent reforms and the Council's approved rent strategy, resulting in an average increase of 5% from £61.10 to £64.15, be approved;

#### Declaration of Council Tax

(6) That it be noted that on 2 December 2005, the Finance and Performance Management Portfolio Holder in consultation with the Chairman of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee calculated the following amounts for

the year 2006/07 in accordance with regulations made under Section 33(5) and 34(4) of the Local Government Finance Act 1992:

53,140.9 being the amount calculated by the Council in accordance (a) with Regulation 3 of the Local Authorities (Calculation of Council Tax Base) Regulations 1992 as the Council Tax Base for the year;

(b) Part of the Council's Area	a Band D Equivalents
Essex County Council (General Essex Police Authority Essex Fire Authority Epping Forest District Council (C Abbess, Beauchamp & Berners Buckhurst Hill Chigwell Epping Town Epping Upland Fyfield High Ongar Lambourne Loughton Town Matching Moreton, Bobbingworth and The Nazeing North Weald Bassett Ongar Roydon Sheering Stanford Rivers Stapleford Abbotts Stapleford Abbotts Stapleford Tawney Theydon Bois Theydon Garnon Theydon Mount Waltham Abbey Town	Expenses) 53,140.9 53,140.9 53,140.9 Seneral Expenses) 53,140.9 Roding 198.4 5,116.3 5,906.5 4,942.0 406.6 413.9 555.0 923.1 12,701.4 305.5 649.0 2,067.1 2,514.2 2,571.3 1,306.8 1,315.7 352.2 492.1 56.1 1,942.5 59.9 103.4 8,002.9
Willingale	239.0

being the amounts calculated by the Council in accordance with Regulation 6 of the Regulations as the amounts of the Council Tax Base for the year for dwellings in those parts of the area to which one or more special items relate;

(7) That the following amounts be now calculated for the year 2006/07 in accordance with sections 32 to 36 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992:

- (a) £78,523,719 being the aggregate of the amounts which the Council estimates for the items set out in Section 32(2) (a) - (e) of the Act;
- £60,149,495 being the aggregate of the amounts which the Council (b) estimates for the items set out in Section 32(3) (a) - (c) of the Act;
- £18,374,224 being the amount by which the aggregate at (a) above (C) exceeds the aggregate at (b) calculated by the Council in accordance with Section 32(4) of the Act as its budget requirement for the year;

- (d) £8,632,408 being the aggregate of the sums which the Council estimates will be payable for the year into its General Fund in respect of redistributed Non Domestic Rates and Revenue Support Grant and increased by the amount the Council estimates will be transferred in the year from its Collection Fund to the General Fund in accordance with Section 97(3) of the Local Government Finance Act 1988 and the amount which the Council estimates will be transferred from the Collection Fund to the General Fund pursuant to the Collection Fund (Community Charges) (England) Directions 1994 made under Section 98(4) of the Local Government Finance Act 1988;
- (e) £183.32 being the amount at (c) above, less the amount at (d) above, all divided by the amount at (6)(a) above, calculated by the Council in accordance with Section 33(1) of the Act as the basic amount of its Council Tax for the year;
- (f) £2,582,129 being the aggregate amount of all special items referred to in Section 34(1) of the Act;
- (g) £134.73 being the amount at (e) above, less the result given by dividing the amount at (f) above by the amount of (6)(a) above, calculated by the Council in accordance with Section 34(2) of the Act as the basic amount of its Council Tax for the year for dwellings in those parts of its area to which no special item relates;

(h) Part of the Council's Area	Amount £
Abbess, Beauchamp & Berners Roding Buckhurst Hill Chigwell Epping Town Epping Upland Fyfield High Ongar Lambourne Loughton Town Matching Moreton, Bobbingworth and The Lavers Nazeing North Weald Bassett Ongar Roydon Sheering Stanford Rivers Stapleford Abbotts Stapleford Tawney Theydon Bois Theydon Garnon Theydon Mount Waltham Abbey Town	203.73 164.61 200.49 160.55 150.68 150.62 161.81 179.66 156.01
Willingale	149.79

being the amounts given by adding to the amount at (7)(g) above the amounts of the special item or items relating to dwellings in those parts of the Council's area mentioned above divided in each case by the amount at (6)(b) above, calculated by the Council in accordance with Section 34(3) of the Act as the basic amounts of its Council Tax for the year for dwellings in those parts of its area to which one or more special items relate;

(i) the amounts shown in Annex 6 to these minutes, being the amounts given by multiplying the amounts at (7)(h) above by the number which is the proportion set out in Section 5(1) of the Act, is applicable to dwellings listed in a particular valuation band, divided by the number which in that proportion is applicable to dwellings listed in valuation band D, calculated by the Council in accordance with Section 36(1) of the Act as the amounts to be taken into account for the year in respect of categories of dwellings listed in different valuation bands;

(8) That it be noted that for the year 2006/07 the major precepting authorities have stated that the amounts shown in Annex 7 Part A are the precepts issued to the Council in accordance with Section 40 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992 for each of the categories of dwellings shown;

(9) That, having calculated the aggregate in each case of the amounts at (7)(i) and (8) above, the Council in accordance with Section 30(2) of the Local Government Finance Act 1992 hereby sets the amounts in Annex 7 Part B as the amounts of Council Tax for the year 2006/07 for each of the categories of dwellings shown;

(10) That the Council's policy of retaining revenue balances at no lower than  $\pounds$ 3.7M or 25% of the net budget requirement whichever is the higher for the four year period to 2008/09 be amended to no lower than  $\pounds$ 3.7M or 25% of the net budget requirement whichever is the higher during the four year period up to and including 2009/10;

(11) That the recommendations included in the report on the Prudential Indicators and the Treasury Management Strategy for 2006/07 (set out in Annex 9) be approved; and

(12) That the report of the Chief Financial Officer on the robustness of the estimates for the purposes of the Council's 2006/07 budgets and the adequacy of the reserves be noted.

#### 75. REPORT OF THE DISTRICT DEVELOPMENT CONTROL COMMITTEE - TREE PRESERVATION ORDERS PROCEDURAL CHANGES AND DELEGATED AUTHORITIES

#### (Mover: Councillor Mrs A Grigg – Chairman of the Committee)

The Chairman of the District Development Control Committee presented a report recommending changes in the way that Tree Preservation Orders were dealt with by the Council which required amendment of the Constitution.

#### Report as first moved **ADOPTED**

#### **RESOLVED**:

(1) That Item (8) (page C12 of the Constitution) of the Terms of Reference of the four Area Plans Sub-Committees be amended to read as follows:

"To determine the confirmation of those Tree Preservation Orders which are opposed"; and

(2) That Item (2) of the Terms of the Reference of the District Development Control Committee be amended to read as follows:

"To determine any recommendation of an Area Plans Sub-Committee which relates to potential decisions liable to give rise to claims for costs or compensation including development control matters and enforcement and planning requirements (including recommendations of no action) but excluding works in relation to Tree Preservation Orders".

#### 76. DISTRICT COUNCIL ELECTIONS (MAY 2006) - ELECTORAL PILOT

The Returning Officer presented a report on proposals for conducting an electronic electoral pilot in May 2006. Members noted that since the report had been prepared, he had received confirmation from the Department for Constitutional Affairs that the Council's application had been agreed.

The Returning Officer advised that the pilot involved an electronic check of postal votes signatures, provision for electors to track postal votes, e-counting of all ballots, amendment of the ballot paper to change the security mark, removal of the need for a witness signature on the postal vote statement and utilisation of an A4 size ballot paper, conduct of voter engagement with pre-poll information to households and allowing children into polling stations, allowing voters to register after the election is called.

The Returning Officer advised that members would be kept informed about progress with the pilot through the weekly Members' Bulletin and reports to the Constitutional Affairs Standing Scrutiny Panel.

Report as amended by the Returning Officer **ADOPTED** 

#### **RESOLVED**:

(1) That, in accordance with the requirements of the Department for Constitutional Affairs, the Returning Officer be authorised on behalf of the Council:

(a) to sign a contract with Demsoft (the Government's approved contractor) for the delivery of all elements of the pilot including technical development and support, equipment and systems development;

(b) to agree staged payments to Demsoft as set out in the contract to meet the Company's costs of the pilot, namely £92,700;

(c) to advise Demsoft and the Department for Constitutional Affairs that the actions under (a) and (b) above are subject to written agreement by the Department for Constitutional Affairs to reimburse the total cost of the pilot to this Council, less the Council's standard election costs, including all payments to Demsoft;

(2) That the Council notes that if any of the conditions set out in (1)(c) above are not met, the Council will be unable to proceed with the pilot; and

(3) That the Council notes that as Demsoft is the Government's approved contractor, there has been no competitive tendering exercise in respect of this contract and, with that in mind, the Council's own Contract Standing Orders have been deemed to be waived.

#### 77. MOTIONS

#### (a) Pay and Display Car Parks

# The Council considered the following motion moved by Councillor M Colling and seconded by Councillor P McMillan:

"This Council calls upon the Portfolio Holder for Civil Engineering and Maintenance to review the current off-street parking arrangements with a view to introducing free parking in all the Council's pay and display car parks on Saturdays".

# Amendment moved by Councillor J M Whitehouse and seconded by Councillor M Woollard:

"That the following words be added to the end of the motion:

; and taking into account the following issues:

- (i) the viability of local town centres and particularly retail businesses;
- (ii) the effect on the Continuing Services Budget;

(iii) any implications for the maintenance of car parks and the Council's aim of providing safer and more secure car parks by upgrading a car park each year; and

(iv) implications for the parking control contract".

#### Carried

#### Motion as amended **ADOPTED**

#### **RESOLVED:**

That the following motion stand referred to the Cabinet as an executive matter:

"This Council calls upon the Portfolio Holder for Civil Engineering and Maintenance to review the current off-street parking arrangements with a view to introducing free parking in all the Council's pay and display car parks on Saturdays; and taking into account the following issues:

- (i) the viability of local town centres and particularly retail businesses;
- (ii) the effect on the Continuing Services Budget;

(iii) any implications for the maintenance of car parks and the Council's aim of providing safer and more secure car parks by upgrading a car park each year; and

(iv) implications for the parking control contract".

#### 78. QUESTIONS BY MEMBERS

#### (a) Handypersons' Scheme

# By Councillor Mrs J H Whitehouse to Councillor M Heavens, Portfolio Holder for Housing

"As the funding for the Handypersons' Scheme, which assists older people with minor repairs and falls prevention works, has not been increased since it was started in 1999, would the Portfolio Holder agree to review the funding of this scheme with a view to increasing the money available for the project and for staffing?"

#### Response by Councillor M Heavens, Portfolio Holder for Housing

"The Handypersons' Scheme is a very successful and important component of the Council's "Care and Repair" service. As the question states it provides a very necessary service to the vulnerable elderly in the District.

In general terms the demand for the service outstrips the resources available and this is managed by restricting individual requests for assistance and the level of financial support. This is therefore an appropriate time to review the service and its funding and I am happy to ask the Chairman of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee to consider placing this matter on the work programme for the Standing Scrutiny Panel on Housing".

By leave of the Council, the Portfolio Holder for Housing added the following supplement to his answer:

"Although the budget for 2006/07 includes a DDF (one-off) increase of £3,000, I am of the view that more funds are needed".

#### (b) Waste Management Literature

# By Councillor Mrs J H Whitehouse to Councillor D Jacobs, Portfolio Holder for Environmental Protection

"Are you aware of the literature which is being delivered in many parts of the District which says that you, as the Portfolio Holder, have "forced through proposals to impose £1,000 fines on householders who persistently leave additional black sacks as well as full wheelie bins" and will you clarify the situation as it seems that your report to Cabinet on 14 November 2005 has been misrepresented?"

### Response by Councillor D Jacobs, Portfolio Holder for Environmental Protection

"I am grateful to the Councillor for raising this matter. I think it important for all members to understand the law and how it functions in this regard.

Sections 34 and 46 of the Environmental Protection Act 1990 (EPA) empower waste collection authorities (WCA - district, borough and unitary authorities) to take action in respect of the management of waste in their areas. Section 34 deals with the "Duty of Care", which requires all those who handle waste, including domestic householders, to exercise a duty of care over what happens to it. It means for example that if a householder employs someone to remove waste on their behalf, they must satisfy themselves that the person they employ will handle that waste properly. Section 46 enables a waste collection authority to prescribe how a householder should put out their waste for collection, and this can include the type of container to be used for specific materials and where it should be placed for collection.

Whilst the Duty of Care powers are important, it is section 34 which is of greater significance, especially where a waste collection authority has invested in new systems of collection which require the separation of all recyclable materials from the waste stream. Section 34 empowers a WCA to take legal action against a householder who refuses to comply with these requirements.

Section 45 of the EPA places the Council under a general duty to collect all household waste put out for collection. Defra has issued guidance to WCAs that, provided residents have been properly informed of the requirements to separate out waste and only use the prescribed containers, WCAs do not have to collect waste put out incorrectly e.g. "side waste".

In the event that a WCA feels it necessary to take action because a householder, despite all available help, guidance and assistance being provided, refuses to comply, the EPA empowers action through the Courts or via a fixed penalty notice. The fine is set by statute, and is a maximum of  $\pounds1,000$  for conviction in a Magistrates' Court or  $\pounds100$  if the householder prefers to have the matter dealt with by a fixed penalty notice.

Cabinet were requested in November 2005 to delegate the use of these powers to a range of waste management and other officers. Cabinet agreed to do so, but also resolved that they did not wish to see these powers used until Cabinet had received a report on the first phases of the wheeled bin roll out. This report was intended to be presented to the February 2006 Cabinet but has been delayed because it was thought that Cabinet would be better served in its consideration if more time were to elapse and more wheeled bins implemented. It is therefore proposed to bring that report to Cabinet at its April meeting.

These powers exist to enable WCAs to manage waste effectively. This position has been strengthened recently with the passing into law of the Clean Neighbourhoods and Environment Act 2005, which extend the powers available to local authorities to manage the environment more effectively, through enabling the extended use of, for example, fixed penalty notices. Last week the Government published its consultation on the review of its waste management strategy. This consultation includes, controversially, references to an increased role for incineration in managing the country's waste. The people of Essex when consulted a couple of years ago made their position clear in rejecting incineration and preferring instead a high diversion high recycling strategy. The County Council's strategy, which we have endorsed and signed up to, accepts this preference and excludes incineration as a disposal route.

However, for this strategy to succeed, it is critical that local authorities and their residents recognise the demands that this places upon us to achieve the highest levels of recycling. This Council has recently recognised this position by increasing its recycling target from 36% to 40% by March 2007. As Portfolio Holder I agree wholeheartedly with that aspiration.

As the report to Cabinet in November made clear, enforcement powers are seen as a very last resort, when all other avenues have failed such as education, persuasion, the provision of a larger wheeled bin if justified and if necessary enforcement. However, it is essential that these powers are made available in order to be able to deal with those people, who I am sure will be a very small minority, who simply refuse to comply with our reasonable requests and continue to fail to recycle and continue to put out side waste for collection.

To conclude, I cannot force through anything in Cabinet, as I belong to a group which has only two out of the nine Cabinet places. It requires members of other groups to agree waste collection 'back up powers', Cabinet having agreed to delay implementation until as assessment of the new methodology in practice had been made. To give an operational example, Conservative led Braintree District Council has, for some years, operated an alternate weekly wheeled bin collection service in Witham, as part of a government funded high diversion trial. This has resulted in very high levels of recycling. During this period Braintree's officers have visited homes or sent letters to those households persisting with side waste or not recycling properly, but only six notices under section 46 have had to be served and none of these has resulted in a prosecution. Scrutiny Committee has requested that we aspire to a recycling target of 40% in the near future. I have reported in response that 46% would cover the costs of glass recycling through increased recycling credits. This level of recycling is achievable if we all work together to successfully implement the alternate weekly wheeled bin service and make the EPA 'back up powers' available for use as a last resort. To those who disagree I ask this question - what message would it send to the vast majority who are complying with the scheme and recycling as much as possible if we allowed those who persistently fail to do so to continue in that vein?"

#### 79. LOCAL GOVERNMENT REORGANISATION

The Leader of the Council advised that David Milliband, Minister for Communities and Local Government, had announced that the Government was actively considering the case for reorganisation of the 34 "two-tier" English counties. The vision was one of self-confident councils leading and empowering their communities and working with partners to deliver high quality public services. The Minister had advised that a question to be answered was whether the best relationship was between the County and the Districts or between one or more unitary authorities and local neighbourhoods.

An Office of the Deputy Prime Minister press release had emphasised that Ministers had taken no decisions about reorganising the existing structure and were seeking views on the best arrangements for places. Council Leaders were being invited to attend one eight regional "round table" discussions hosted by ODPM Ministers as part of a wider dialogue on the issues. It was envisaged that a White Paper would be published in Summer 2006 delivering a clear vision for the long-term future of local government including the issue of structure.

Members noted that Group Leaders had discussed this matter at their meeting on 25 January 2006 and had agreed that each group should submit the initial views of that group as a basis for a debate at this meeting.

The Council considered reports submitted by the Independent Group, the Liberal Democrats Group and the Loughton Residents Association Group.

The Leader of the Conservative Group advised that her Group were of the opinion that the formulation of views should be deferred until the White Paper had been published. She stated that the briefings being given by the Office of the Deputy Prime Minister appeared to be sending out different messages to different tiers of authority. She suggested that the aim of the Government was to introduce regional government. She advised of the need to engage local people in the debate.

The Leader of the BNP Group advised that her Group did not have a lot of confidence in the Government and that changes for changes sake needed to be resisted.

The Leader of the Labour Group advised that her Group would support the abolition of the County Council as it was considered too remote and did not provide value for money.

The Council noted that Essex County Council proposed to establish a small working party under the Leader of that Council to develop an argument to keep the three tiers of authority as currently existed whilst examining the "substantial" back office savings which could come about by amalgamating specialist back office staff so that they could serve the whole county. The Leader of the Council advised that he had been invited to join that working party and he sought the views of the Council on this invitation.

#### **RESOLVED**:

(1) That debate on the proposed restructuring of local government be encouraged among town and parish councils in the District;

(2) That the "Forester" be used to inform and consult residents on the issues of local government reorganisation;

(3) That the Leader of the Council be authorised to attend meetings of the working party established by the County Council and to inform members of the outcome of these meetings via the Members' Bulletin;

(4) That Group Leaders meetings be used for continued discussion with a view to constructing the Council's response to proposals for change; and

(5) That the Finance and Performance Management Cabinet Committee examine the possible financial consequences for this Council of a reorganisation of local government.

#### 80. CABINET COMMITTEE - WASTE MANAGEMENT CONTRACT

The Council noted that the Cabinet had established a new Cabinet Committee of five Cabinet members to review this contract. The Committee was required to report to the Cabinet by not later than the meeting scheduled to take place on 10 April 2006.

#### 81. LEISURE MANAGEMENT - CONTRACT MONITORING BOARD

The Council was informed that the Cabinet had decided that there should be monthly meetings between the contractor, Sports and Leisure Management Limited (SLM) and officers to consider regular reports and any operational issues. In addition the Cabinet had established a Contract Management Board to allow councillors to meet with the senior management and directors of SLM in order to review the contract at a

more strategic level. The Cabinet had envisaged that the Board would meet three times a year.

Members noted that the Cabinet was proposing that the Board should comprise five cross-party members including the Leisure Portfolio Holder to be appointed each year at the Annual Council meeting. However, as the contract had commenced in January 2006, a meeting of the Board was required before the next Annual meeting in May 2006. The Council considered nominations of Group Leaders to attend the first meeting.

#### **RESOLVED:**

That the Council be represented at the first Contract Monitoring Board meeting due to be held in March 2006 by Councillors C Whitbread (Leisure Portfolio Holder), S Barnes, K Faulkner, J Knapman and J M Whitehouse.

# 82. GARDEN WASTE COLLECTION SERVICE - EMERGENCY AND URGENT FUNDING

(Mover: Councillor D Jacobs, Portfolio Holder for Environmental Protection)

The Portfolio Holder for Environmental Protection submitted a report on urgent action which had been taken in relation to the Council's waste management contract.

Report as first moved **ADOPTED** 

#### **RESOLVED:**

That the following action authorised as urgent pursuant to paragraph 2.65 of Appendix B to the Council's Financial Regulations and paragraph 21 of the Council's Overview and Scrutiny Rules be noted:

approval of one-off interim funding of £20,000 to support the delivery of the waste collection service for the month of January 2006.

#### 83. ELECTION OF VERDERERS OF EPPING FOREST - 2006

The Council noted that under the Epping Forest Acts 1878 and 1880, an election was held every seven years to appoint verderers to take seats on the Epping Forest and Open Spaces Committee of the Corporation of the City of London.

A meeting of electors for the Northern Forest parishes, including the District Council, would be held on 6 March 2006 for the purpose of nominating and seconding candidates. If a ballot was demanded this would be held on 8 March 2006.

In accordance with Section 100(4)(b) of the Local Government Act 1972, together with paragraphs 6 and 25 of the Council's Procedure Rules, the Chairman had permitted on grounds of urgency, consideration of this item in order to meet the timescale set by the Corporation of the City of London.

#### **RESOLVED**:

That the Chairman of the Council, Councillor B Sandler, be authorised to attend the meeting of electors on 6 March 2006 to make any nomination(s) on behalf of the Council and, if necessary, to vote at the poll on 8 March 2006.

**CHAIRMAN** 

CONTINUING SERVICI	CONTINUING SERVICES BUDGET - GROWTH / (SAVINGS) LIST		Otilita Otilita	A <sup>eli</sup> ised	Sisterio Topologica	and the state of t	Site Children Construction	Annex 1 Annex 1 Annov10
Portfolio	Service		£000's	\$,0003	£000's	£000's	s,0003	£000's
People First								
_	Civic and Member Civic and Member Civic and Member	Members Allowances- Spec Resp Members Allowances- Basic Members Allowances, Spece Peer Chair Scrutiny Panels	36	36	- 18 * *	24 19	24	
	Civic and Member	Members Superanueuro Operation English and a member Superanueuro Operation Englisher Costs	-	- ç	+ c	r		
	Civic and Member Civic and Member	Member Electronic Services (Trans from IEG) Member Electronic Services Training		0	ى م *			
	Civic Ceremonial	Car Hire/ Civic Awards		į	2 ***			
	Corporate Policy Making Flectoral Registration	Top Management Structure staff savings A form envelopes	(85) 2	(79) 2				
	Electoral Registration	Additional postage re canvassing	ı <del>–</del>					
	Elections Flectoral Registration/Voting	Changes in arrangements Changes in arrangements			11 ***			
	Standards Committee	Operational Budget	5	4	) )			
	Research & Democratic Services	Scrutiny Support Officer- new post	25	11	14 *			
	Total People First		(18)	(8)	72	47	24	0
<b>Community Wellbeing</b>								
	Concessionary Fares	Free local travel for over 60s Transnort For London Free Travel	10	лл Л	582 ***			
	Concessionary Fares	Statutory County wide scheme - Bus Permits	2	(40)				
	Concessionary Fares	Statutory County wide scheme - Postage		5				
	Licensing and Regulations	New Arrangements - staff costs	15	15				
	Licensing and Regulations	New Arrangements - Additional income	(52) 6	(52) 6				
	Grants to Voluntary Organisations	Furniture Exchange Scheme	0 16	0 16				
	Grants to Voluntary Organisations	Homestart rent			e			
	Welfare Transport	Transfer of Mini Buses to Donors	00	02	*** ( <u>/</u> )			
	Safer Communities	Crime Reduction Assistant- Permanent F/T	00	2	17 ***			
	Safer Communities	Anti Social Behaviour Co-ordinator - Permanent F/T			:	27		
	Safer Communities	Graffiti Removal		8				
	Handyman Scheme	Additional Resources			з *** С			
	Emergency Planning	Emergency Planning Officer- new post	30	22				
	Emergency Planning Emergency Planning	New Equipment ED officer On set un costs-Ainwave I icence & mtce	~	χç				
	Emergency Planning	EP officer Op set up costs-Running expenses	<del>م</del> 1	1 10				
	Total Community Wellbeing		100	111	000	ľ		
			77	111	030	77		

Page 17

# Minute Item 74

CONTINUING SERVIC	CONTINUING SERVICES BUDGET - GROWTH / (SAVINGS) LIST		O <sup>tight</sup> at	4 <sup>61,158</sup> 0 2005/06	Store of the state	Suppose Lestinate 2007/08	Stentingte Solutions	Annex 1 Annex 1 Loginate Loginate 2009/10
Portfolio Einanco and	Service		£000's	£000's	£000's	£0003	£0003	£0003
Parformance	Local Taxation	NNDR Increase in Court Costs	(3)	(10)				
Management	Local Taxation	Council Tax Increase in Court Costs	(40)	(40)	(20) ***			
1	Local Taxation	Additional Staffing						
	Finance Miscellaneous	Potential Central Support Savings			(114) ***			
	Cashiers	Cash Collection Contract renewal	o [	<b>0</b>				
	Housing Benefits	Rent Allowances	(6)					
	Housing Benefits	Council Tax	(2)					
	Housing Benefits	HRA Rent Rebates	(11)					
	Housing Benefits	Electronic Document management	43		15 ***			
	Housing Benefits	Restructuring staff costs		22				
	Insurance Premiums	Reduced Renewal Costs(re-allocated to GF services)		(190)				
	Investment Income	Increased/Lost Interest Income	(300)	(302)				
	Brooker Road Indust Estate	Increased Rent Income	(3)	(33)				
	Oakwood Hill Industrial Estate	Increased Rent Income			(17) ***			
	Oakwood Hill Work Shop Units	Lost Rent Income		7				
	Langston Road Industrial Estate	T11 Site - Letting for Car Parking	(26)	(26)				
	David Llovd Centre	Commission Reduction (Lower turnover)		, <b>7</b>				
	Unappropiated Buildings	Furniture Exchange Scheme Town Mead Depot	(16)	(16)				
	District Audit	Audit Fees	(25)	14 ,				
	Energy Sites	Energy Costs	-	2				
		I						
	Total Finance and Performance Management	anagement	(385)	(556)	(139)			
Cornorate Support								
Corporate Support	Einance General Admin	Savings - Scanning and Indexing Housing Benefits			(15) ***			
OCI NICCO		Doduction in income reculting from prinche correlet		100				
		Additional and of history constants (Nous FOC shores)		001				
				0.7				
		Keaucea ree income	:	N Z				
	Local Land Charges	Post ALC/06 to full time	10	10				
	Car Leasing	Amendments to Scheme	(10)	(10)	_			
	Office Accommodation	Refuse Collection/Disposal & Recycling		7	4			
	Office Accommodation	Additional cleaning materials						
	Office Accommodation	Additional costs of reletting office cleaning contract			11 ***			
	Office Accommodation	NNDR saving Civic Offices		(20)				
	Legal & General Admin	Franking Machine Mtce	-	-				
	Democratic Services	Savings resulting from new Cttee minute/report system			(15) ***			
	ICT	Service restructure - Corporate ICT Strategy	170	110	* 09			
	IEG	Revenue Growth arising from IEG Initiatives	15	15	18 *			
	IEG	Member Electronic Services (Trans to PF Civic & Membe	10					
	ICT	Training - Staff Restructuring			10 *			
	ICT	Essex eMarket Place-Subscription			18 ***			
	Energy Sites	Energy Costs	28	34	* 38			
			100					
	lotal Corporate Support Services		224	787	121			

CONTINUING SERVICE	CONTINUING SERVICES BUDGET - GROWTH / (SAVINGS) LIST		O <sup>t</sup> Ulfrad 2005/06	A <sup>elise</sup> d 2005/06	Statinate Listinate	Sterring is	Sterring Star	Annex 1 Linde Linde 2009/10
Portfolio Housing	<b>Service</b> Homelessness Housing Strategy Private Sector Housing Private Sector Housing	Homeless Prevention Officers Contribution re Regional Hsg Co-ordinator Additional Resources for CARE and Private sector Grants Additional Staffing	<b>£000's</b> 10 5 26	<b>£000's</b> 10 5 18	<b>£000's</b> 25 *** 13 *	£000's	s,0003	S.0003
	Total Housing		41	33	38			
Leisure	Leisure Management Leisure Management Leisure Services North Weald Airfield North Weald Airfield Energy Sites <b>Total Leisure &amp; Health</b>	Alternative Management Additional Costs (In House) Leisure Support Service Savings Additional Events & Lettings Income High Voltage Distribution Network 5 yr Programme Energy Costs	(30) 40 (13) 38 <b>35</b>	(51) 153 (39) 10 61 <b>134</b>	(152) *** (66) *** (218)	0	0	o
Protection	Waste Management Waste Management Waste Management Street Cleansing Pollution Control Pollution Control <b>Total Environmental Protection</b>	Revised Refuse/Recycling Contract White Sacks Additional Post Paternoster Ward Bobbingworth Tip Contaminated Land Strategy	46 8 8 25 19 <b>8</b>	46 8 119 25 <b>115</b>	139 *** 3 *** 17 ** 25 * <b>184</b>	7 7	~ ~	o
Planning & Economic Development	Tourism End c Planning Services Restr Planning Services Buildi Planning Services Buildi Development Control Incre Forward Planning & Economic Development	End of Sec 106 contribution to W Abbey TIC Restructure Building Control Ring Fence Increased fee Income Strategic Environmental Assesment Specialist Advice re Conservation Issues	(68) 19 <b>(49)</b>	20 (68) 19 <b>(22)</b>	76 *** (18) *** <b>58</b>	<b>പ</b> വ		
Civil Engineering & Maintenance	Off Street Car Parking Ind Off Street Car Parking Ca Highways Sa Off Street Car Parking Fir Energy Sites En Building Services Qu Total Civil Engineering & Maintenance	Increased income price restructuring Car Park maintenance Savings arising from transfer Free Car Parking Waltham Abbey Energy Costs Quality accreditation	(25) 25 (70) 6 <b>(64)</b>	(16) 25 9 <b>18</b>	20 *** 7 *** <b>27</b>	0	0	0
	Total CSB Key:		4	118	773	06	ઝ	0

\* Items that were originally part of the 2005/06 budget but have slipped. \*\* Items that were part of the 2005/06 budget cycle but related to future years. \*\*\* New items put forward during the 2006/07 budget cycle.

DISTRICT DEVE	DISTRICT DEVELOPMENT FUND		O <sup>tibina</sup>	A <sup>olitso</sup> d 2005/06	Stillinge Legillinge	Sulfing Street	Stelling Street	Stelling St.
Portfolio	Service		£000's	£0003	£0003	£000's	£0001s	£0003
People First	Corporate Policy Making Corporate Policy Making Public Relations Elections	Dev of Community Strategy-consulting & printing Top Mgt Structure Salary Increases Improvements to Main Reception Area No District Elections by Thirds in 2005/06	10 31	10 15 (40)	10 ** 30 *** 31 *			
	Total People First		41	(15)	71			
Community Wellbeing	Emergency Planning Concessionary Fares Safer Communities Safer Communities Grants to Voluntary Organisations Licensing and Regulations	Business Continuity Plans Transport for London - additional costs Anti Social Behaviour Officer HO Grant re Anti Social Behaviour Officer Furniture Exchange Scheme New Arrangements - Additional income- first year	30 25 (42)	23 45 (25) (50)				
	Total Community Wellbeing		(11)	(9)				
Finance and Performance Management	Housing Benefit/Local Taxation Finance Miscellaneous Finance Miscellaneous Finance Miscellaneous Insurance/Risk Management Estates Management Housing Benefits Housing Benefits Housing Benefits Housing Benefits Housing Benefits Housing Benefits Local Taxation	New revenues and benefits system Finance System Outstanding Commitments Performance Reward Grant Potential Central Support Savings (One off costs) Implementation of Risk Management Strategy Property Management System Consultants Fees- Britania Sports Ground Langston Road Industrial Estate- Development Proposals Housing Benefit Admin Grant (New Formula) Grant - Verification Framework Grant - Verification Framework Framework Grant - Verification Framework Grant - Verification Framework Grant - Verification Framework Grant - Verification Framework Grant - Verification Framework Framework Grant - Verification Framework Grant - Verification Framewo	30 (126) 15 (38) (38) (126) 15 (38) (126) 15 (15	31 (52) (52) (116) (338) (116) (116) (338) (338) (116)	37 * 56 *** 56 *** 50 *** 30 *** (119) ** (39) * (2) ***			
	Total Finance and Performance Management	lanagement	(94)	120	(29)			

			le <sub>un</sub>	P <sup>OS!</sup>	Sterlin,	Stelli,	Steul,	<sup>stell</sup>	
DISTRICT DEVELOPMENT FUND	-OPMENT FUND		O <sup>tto</sup> 2005/06	ج <sup>ور</sup> ۲۵۵۶/۵۴	دی» ۲۰۰۵	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	
Portfolio	Service		£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's	
Corporate	Payroll/HR	New ICT system		6					
support services	Legal Services	Data capture re Land Terrier		4	* 2				
and IT		Computerisation of Land Terrier records		9	11 *				
	Legal Services	Registration of Unregistered Titles	20	49					
	Legal Services	Planning Delivery Grant re Legal Officer	50	26	24 *				
	Local Land Charges	New IT system	50	25					
	Human Resources	Recruitment & Retention		(150)					
	Legal Services	Office Equipment		6					
	Office Accommodation	Civic Offices Atrium works			* ©				
	Office Accommodation	Potential Accommodation Changes		27					
	Office Accommodation	Off-Site Storage Facility		9					
	Office Accommodation	Essential Work to Civic Offices	42	48	112 **	33	8	13	
	Office Accommodation	Comfort Cooling	50		50 *				
	Office Accommodation	Works to Committee rooms for Scrutiny meetings	80	80					
	Office Accommodation	Accommodation Works		30					
	ICT	Corporate ICT equipment		80					
	ICT	Operational Costs		65					
	ICT	Service restructure - Corporate ICT Strategy	(110)						
	ICT	Service restructure - Redundancy/Early Retirement	13	16					
	WEbsite	Operational Costs		-					
	Mobile Phones	New Equipment		4					
	Total Corporate Support Services	es	123	191	212	33	8	13	
Housing	Homelessness	Continuation of Housing Prevention Officers(net)			*** 6	0			
I	Private Sector Housing	Stock Condition Survey	75	75					
	Total Housing		75	75	6	6			

			1euj		Stell	Stell	stell.	<sup>Stell</sup>
DISTRICT DEVE	DISTRICT DEVELOPMENT FUND		O <sup>tion</sup>		Loj Jooc	Sol Looc	una no con	using and a second
Portfolio	Service		s,0003	s,0003	\$,0003	£0003	\$,0003	5000's
Leisure	Ongar Leisure Centre Leisure Management Ongar Leisure Centre Community Development Leisure Management LLC Development North Weald Airfield North Weald Airfield North Weald Airfield North Weald Airfield Museum Community & Culture Arts Programme Arts Programme Arts Programme Arts Programme Sports Development Sports Development Sports Development Sports Development Youth Strategy Youth Strategy	Release of Commuted Sum Alternative Management Planned Maintenance Provision of Portakabin Start up costs etc of alternative management Mediation - Final Account Mediation - Final Account Lost lettings income Lost lettings income Scouts Jamboree Additional Income Community Venues Outreach Pilot Project Beyond Suburbia Rural Regeneration Project Beyond Suburbia Rural Regeneration Project Additional Projects Additional Projects Additional Projects Additional Projects Summer Activities Summer Activities	(109) 30 (100) 30 (10	(109) 153 153 107 (30) (30) (30) (30) (30) (30) (30)	513 ** 10 *** (46) ** (18) ** (18) ** (30) **	160 20 (20) (30)	( <u>ව</u> ) ව	
	Total Leisure & Health		293	199	523	160	0	
Environmental	Air Quality Waste Management Waste Management Waste Management Waste Management Waste Management Waste Management Waste Management Waste Management Pollution Control	First assessment review Revised Refuse/Recycling Contract Consultants Fees Glass Recycling Pilot Government Grant Recycling Measures Clear Sacks Countywide Waste Disposal Contract Increase in Refuse Contract Bobbingworth Tip	6 3 3 4 (7) 4 1 (7) 8	64 64 73 64 74 71 8 71 0 8 110 8 110	159 *** (53) *** 33 *** 16 *** 20 ***	106 56 (56)	6 6 7 4	~
	Total Environmental Protection		68	147	182	106	57	-

DISTRICT DEVEI	DISTRICT DEVELOPMENT FUND		0 <sup>11</sup> 1919 01101 2005/06		2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	وينانيني دينيا 2009/10
Portfolio	Service		£000's	£000's	£000's	£0003	£000's	£000's
Planning & Economic Development	Development ControlNew ICEnforcementTempoForward PlanningAtteratForward PlanningAtteratPaynerPaynerPaynerPaynerPovelopment ControlAgenciDevelopment ControlAgenciPlanning ServicesPlanningPlanning ServicesScanningPlanning ServicesScanningPlanning ServicesScanningPlanning ServicesScanningPlanning ServicesScanningPlanning ServicesScanningPlanning ServicesScanningPlanning ServicesScanningPlanning ServicesScanningPlanning ServicesPlanningPlanning ServicesPlanningPlanning ServicesPlanningPlanning ServicesPlanning </th <th>New ICT system Temporary Staffing Alteration to Local plan plus Temp Local Plan Officer Paynes Lane Traveller Incursion High Hedges Legistlation - Staffing Agency Staff Document Imaging Planning Delivery Grant 2 Planning Delivery Grant 2 Planning Delivery Grant 3 Planning Delivery Grant 3 Scanning DDF New IT system New IT system</th> <th>50 283 35 35 (49) (49)</th> <th>166 70 100 30 (139) (139) (139) 376 376</th> <th>24 * 237 * 122 *** 25 ** 119 * (33) * (33) * (33) * 32 * 32 *</th> <th>α <u>0</u> α</th> <th></th> <th></th>	New ICT system Temporary Staffing Alteration to Local plan plus Temp Local Plan Officer Paynes Lane Traveller Incursion High Hedges Legistlation - Staffing Agency Staff Document Imaging Planning Delivery Grant 2 Planning Delivery Grant 2 Planning Delivery Grant 3 Planning Delivery Grant 3 Scanning DDF New IT system New IT system	50 283 35 35 (49) (49)	166 70 100 30 (139) (139) (139) 376 376	24 * 237 * 122 *** 25 ** 119 * (33) * (33) * (33) * 32 * 32 *	α <u>0</u> α		
Civil Engineering & Maintenance	g Highways Land Drainage Land Drainage Land Drainage Civil Engineering Group Grounds Maintenance Grounds Maintenance Off Street Parking Highways	Residual Costs Senior Engineer (2 Years) Reimbursement from Environment Agency Remedial works Principal Ordinary Watercourses Land Drainage QA Accreditation New IT system New IT system Free Car Parking Waltham Abbey North Loughton lorry parking ban Environmental Improvements		145 (12) 35 145 145 145 145 145	42 *** 50 *** (50) *** 100 ***	50 (50) 100	6	
	Total Civil Engineering & Maintenance	ance	0	223	142	100	6	
	Total Portfolio District Development Fund	ent Fund	922	1,310	1,655	441	155	14
	Criter rems Reimbursement of Highways Residual Costs Transfer from Usable Capital Receipts (Commutation Adjustment) Local Authority Business Growth Incentives Scheme	ual Costs ots (Commutation Adjustment) centives Scheme		(350) (270) (475)	(233) *** (226) *** (200) ***	(117) (215)		
	Total District Development Fund		922	215	966	109	155	14

در درگذار 2009/10 2000's		13	~			14
و008/09 2008/09 2000's		ω	57	0	}	155
ويناني ديناني 2007/08 2000's		е С	160 106	33	(332)	109
در درگذارا 1006/07 2006/07	71	(29) 212 9	523 182	545 142	(629)	966
کو <sup>کا</sup> نده کو <sup>کا</sup> نده 2005/06 2000's	(15) (6) 120	191 75	199 147	376 223	(1,095)	215
رنان 0 <sup>11</sup> نان 2005/06 £000's	41 (11)	123 75	293 68	427		922

Finance and Performance Management

Community Wellbeing

People First

SUMMARY

Service

Portfolio

DISTRICT DEVELOPMENT FUND

Corporate Support Services

Planning & Economic Development Civil Engineering & Maintenance Other Items

Environmental Protection

Leisure & Health

Housing

Annex 2

Key:

\* Items that were originally part of the 2005/06 budget but have slipped. \*\* Items that were part of the 2005/06 budget cycle but related to future years. \*\*\* New items put forward during the 2006/07 budget cycle.

#### REVENUE EXPENDITURE, INCOME AND FINANCING

2005/06 ORIGINAL ALL REVENUE ITEMS £	2005/06 REVISED ALL REVENUE ITEMS £			GENERAL FUND ACCOUNT £	2006/07 ORIGINAL HOUSING REVENUE ACCOUNT £	ALL REVENUE ITEMS £
L	L	Gross Expenditure		L	L	L
2,677,870	2,744,640	People First	4(a)	3,138,190	0	3,138,190
1,426,730	, ,	Community Wellbeing	4(b)	2,103,300	0	2,103,300
30,836,400	31,858,610	Finance & Performance Management	4(c)	32,374,630	0	32,374,630
537,500	489,330	Corporate Support Services	4(d)	479,030	0	479,030
51,799,890	53,321,500	•	4(e)	2,363,130	54,831,000	57,194,130
9,597,260	9,064,460		4(f)	6,586,980	0	6,586,980
3,209,100		Civil Engineering & Maintenance	4(g)	2,946,000	0	2,946,000
3,599,610		Planning & Economic Development	4(h)	4,160,800	0	4,160,800
5,774,190	, ,	Environmental Protection	4(i)	6,421,050	0	6,421,050
0	168,280	Internal Trading Organisations	4(j)	150,000	0	150,000
109,458,550	111,897,580	Total Expenditure on Services		60,723,110	54,831,000	115,554,110
(35,246,920)		Asset Management Revenue Account		(3,914,520)	(35,698,000)	(39,612,520)
2,366,821	2,366,821	Precepts Paid to Parish Councils	_	2,582,129	0	2,582,129
76,578,451	77,143,941	Total Gross Expenditure	7a	59,390,719	19,133,000	78,523,719
		Gross Income				
29,389,210	- / / -	Government Subsidies		30,107,159	0	30,107,159
20,727,000		Rents from Dwellings		0	22,157,000	22,157,000
4,777,680		Miscellaneous Rents, Trading Operations etc.		2,680,020	2,409,000	5,089,020
9,414,530 49,570		Fees and Charges Interest on Mortgages and Investments		5,127,080 26,050	1,598,000 15,000	6,725,080 41,050
663,270		Grants and Reimbursements by other Bodies		806,960	0	806,960
65,021,260	66,310,130	Total Operational Income	-	38,747,269	26,179,000	64,926,269
(270,986)	(726 596)	Contribution from/(to) Revenue Reserves		(389,284)	417,000	27,716
(939,000)		FRS 17 Adjustment		(402,000)	417,000	(402,000)
922,000		Contribution from/(to) District Development Fund		996,000	ů 0	996,000
(150,000)		Contribution from/(to) Other Reserves		(150,000)	0	(150,000)
(4,655,300)	(4,847,080)	Contribution from/(to) Capital Reserves		2,214,510	(7,463,000)	(5,248,490)
59,927,974	60,493,464	- Total Gross Income	7b	41,016,495	19,133,000	60,149,495
16,650,477	16,650,477	- To be met from Government Grants and Local Taxation	- 7c	18,374,224	0	18,374,224
		- Financed by:	=			
3,799,115	3,799,115	Revenue Support Grant				1,395,932
3,500,111		Distribution from Non-Domestic Rate Pool				7,231,476
34,000	34,000	Collection Fund Adjustment				5,000
7,333,226	7,333,226	Exchequer Support and Collection Fund Surpluses	7d		_	8,632,408
6,950,430	6,950,430	District Precept				7,159,687
2,366,821	2,366,821	Parish Council Precepts	7f			2,582,129
16,650,477	16,650,477	Total Financing			_	18,374,224

_
ືອ
4
хэг
Anr
-

-
ŝ
<u> </u>
ш.
đ
_
0
-
0
۵,
ດັ

# Portfolio Programme 2006/07

# Portfolio Holder - Councillor Stephen Barnes

	iginal £		4,282,750	1,144,560	3,138,190			6,360	3,131,830	
	2006/07 Original £	288,660 2,046,910 1,234,320 94,050 150,890 467,920			1		3,360			
	Revenue Expenditure	Elections Corporate Activites Member Activities Local Council Liaison Customer Services Public Relations	Total Expenditure	Income from Internal Charges	Net Expenditure (see Annex 3)	Service Generated Income	Government Subsidies Rents from Dwellings Miscellaneous Rents, Trading Operations etc Fees and Charges Interest on Mortgages and Investments Grants and Reimbursements by other Bodies	Total Income	To be met from Government Grant and Local Taxation	Capital Expenditure (see Annex 5)
	Revised £		3,702,730	958,090	2,744,640			6,280	2,738,360	·
	2005/06 Revised £	205,660 1,748,020 1,095,370 82,580 162,350 408,750			I		- - 3,280 3,000		1 1	I II
	riginal £		3,684,520	1,006,650	2,677,870			6,010	2,671,860	·
	2005/06 Original £	255,090 1,684,220 1,109,860 42,850 151,380 441,120			Ι		- - 3,010 3,000			I

**Community Wellbeing** 

		Original £		2,103,300	ı	2,103,300			206,460	1,896,840					
Portfolio Programme 2006/07		2006/07 Original £	151,930 386,210 378,750 953,800 232,610					8,950 187,260 10,250		Ę					
	Portfolio Holder - Councillor Caroline Pond	Revenue Expenditure	Emergency Planning Voluntary Services Safer Communities Travel Schemes Regulatory Services	Total Expenditure	Income from Internal Charges	Net Expenditure (see Annex 3)	Service Generated Income	Government Subsidies Rents from Dwellings Miscellaneous Rents, Trading Operations etc Fees and Charges Interest on Mortgages and Investments Grants and Reimbursements by other Bodies	Total Income	To be met from Government Grant and Local Taxation	Capital Expenditure (see Annex 5)				
Poi		Portfolio H	Revised £		1,483,690	I	1,483,690			278,530	1,205,160				
						2005/06 Revised £	137,490 364,360 358,590 407,570 215,680			I		8,950 234,580 35,000			
						2005/06 Original :		1,426,730		1,426,730			262,330	1,164,400	
		2005/06 £	159,550 367,020 327,810 363,910 208,440					- 8,950 218,380 35,000			. "				

		ginal £		37,923,280	5,548,650	32,374,630			31,013,900	1,360,730	290,000			
		2006/07 Original £	29,825,290 1,556,140 768,220 2,180,710 2,180,710 2,381,570 331,040 331,040					29,426,830 1,095,750 491,320						
Portfolio Programme 2006/07	Portfolio Holder - Councillor John Knapman	Revenue Expenditure	Housing Benefits Local Taxation Land & Property Other Activities Financial Services Land & Property Services Land & Property Services	Total Expenditure	Income from Internal Charges	Net Expenditure (see Annex 3)	Service Generated Income	Government Subsidies Rents from Dwellings Miscellaneous Rents, Trading Operations etc Fees and Charges Interest on Mortgages and Investments Grants and Reimbursements by other Bodies	Total Income	1,159,600 To be met from Government Grant and Local Taxation	Capital Expenditure (see Annex 5)			
Portfo	Portfolio Holo	vised £		37,308,740	5,450,130	31,858,610			30,699,010	1,159,600	180,000			
					2005/06 Revised £	29,582,260 1,468,280 680,520 2,015,590 2,203,440 1,035,580 323,070					29,194,130 1,068,650 436,230			
					ginal £		35,664,370	4,827,970	30,836,400			30,040,500	795,900	484,000
		2005/06 Original £	28,835,950 1,423,810 741,510 1,077,140 2,176,830 1,070,850 338,280					28,671,570 1,051,930 317,000 -						

Annex 4(c)

Finance & Performance Management

Page 33

-
σ
4
ex
Ē
A
-

Ë.	
vices &	
ort Ser	
e Supp	
Corporat	

# Portfolio Programme 2006/07

# Portfolio Holder - Councillor Stephen Metcalfe

	iginal £		8,540,370	8,061,340	479,030			456,510	22,520	1,772,000
	2006/07 Original £	1,802,150 1,883,170 2,285,350 2,076,600 410,550 82,550			l		456,510			
Portfolio Holder - Councillor Stephen Metcalfe	Revenue Expenditure	Legal & Administration Services Other Support Services Accommodation Information Communication Technology Telephones Website	Total Expenditure	Income from Internal Charges	Net Expenditure (see Annex 3)	Service Generated Income	Government Subsidies Rents from Dwellings Miscellaneous Rents, Trading Operations etc Fees and Charges Interest on Mortgages and Investments Grants and Reimbursements by other Bodies	Total Income	42,170 To be met from Government Grant and Local Taxation	809,000 <b>Capital Expenditure (see Annex 5)</b>
Portfolio H	vised £		7,742,530	7,253,200	489,330			447,160	42,170	809,000
	2005/06 Revised £	1,743,190 1,648,860 2,105,320 1,793,440 374,380 77,340					447,160			
	Driginal £		7,907,190	7,369,690	537,500			564,300	- 26,800	501,000
	2005/06 Original £	1,766,860 1,760,870 2,234,660 1,689,250 373,180 82,370			I		564,300		1 '	ı II

	2006/07 Original	Housing Revenue Total £ £	54,831,000 54,831,000 852,150 852,150 599,200 47,280 7726,180 726,180	54,831,000 57,194,130		675,010 22,157,000 2,409,000 1,598,000 15,000 15,000 13,930 28,235,000 417,000 417,000 54,831,000 55,970,510 - 1,223,620	7,870,000 9,080,000
ens		General Fund £	852,150 599,200 47,280 726,180 138,320	2,363,130		675,010 291,750 158,820 13,930 1,139,510 1,223,620	1,210,000
Portfolio Holder - Councillor Michael Heavens		Revenue Expenditure	Council Housing Private Sector Housing Homelessness Housing Investment Programme Housing Associations Grants Leasehold Services Administration		Service Generated Income	731,640 Government Subsidies   21,216,000 Rents from Dwellings   2,589,000 Miscellaneous Rents, Trading Operations etc   1,696,210 Fees and Charges   27,000 Interest on Mortgages and Investments   27,000 Interest Transferred from AMRA   408,000 Use of Balances   52,927,440 Total Income   394,060 To be met from Government Grant and Local Taxation	Capital Expenditure (see Annex 5)
	2005/06 Revised	Total £	51,690,000 883,830 555,720 44,870 14,870 132,210	53,321,500		731,640 21,216,000 2,589,000 1,696,210 27,000 13,590 (408,000) (408,000) 52,927,440 394,060	8,049,000
	2005/06 Original	Total £	49,151,000 1,367,590 553,480 36,350 564,610 126,860	51,799,890		717,640 20,727,000 2,465,000 1,784,860 20,000 13,590 24,893,000 (243,000) (243,000) 50,378,090 1,421,800	9,911,000

Portfolio Programme 2006/07

Housing Portfolio

£
4
ex
Ć
5
∢

<b>A</b> \
۳
5
~
¢

## Portfolio Programme 2006/07

## Portfolio Holder - Councillor Chris Whitbread

9,597,260
9,597,260 4,755,520 4,841,740

		iginal £		2,946,000			1,627,600	1,318,400	4,501,000
		2006/07 Original £	867,860 1,183,570 894,570			22,000 1,555,600 50,000			
Portfolio Programme 2006/07	Portfolio Holder - Councillor Don Spinks	Revenue Expenditure	Highways Car & Lorry Parking Land Drainage & Sewerage	- Net Expenditure (see Annex 3)	Service Generated Income	Government Subsidies Rents from Dwellings Miscellaneous Rents, Trading Operations etc Fees and Charges Interest on Mortgages and Investments Grants and Reimbursements by other Bodies	Total Income	To be met from Government Grant and Local Taxation	Capital Expenditure (see Annex 5)
Port	Portfolio F	evised £		2,837,040			1,576,090	1,260,950	634,000
		2005/06 Revised £	959,350 1,153,400 724,290			23,000 1,553,090			
		2005/06 Original £	1,354,180 1,145,540 709,380	3,209,100		- - 1,419,000 - -	1,441,000	1,768,100	1,342,000
		μ							

### Annex 4(g)

**Civil Engineering & Maintenance** 

Page 41

	riginal £		4,160,800		1,214,970	2,945,830	
	2006/07 Original £	150,090 16,340 18,060 240,720 216,590 718,140 2,800,860		1,149,560 65,410		I	
Portfolio Programme 2006/07 Portfolio Holder - Councillor Robert Glozier	Revenue Expenditure	Economic Development Tourism Bus Shelters Countrycare Conservation Policy Forward Planning Regulatory Services	Net Expenditure (see Annex 3) Service Generated Income	Government Subsidies Rents from Dwellings Miscellaneous Rents, Trading Operations etc Fees and Charges Interest on Mortgages and Investments Grants and Reimbursements by other Bodies	Total Income	To be met from Government Grant and Local Taxation	Capital Expenditure (see Annex 5)
Pc Portfolio	evised £		3,960,610		1,303,980	2,656,630	
	2005/06 Revised £	133,420 15,960 17,250 218,400 207,220 455,180 2,913,180		1,163,430 140,550		I	
	2005/06 Original £ £	162,820 15,990 12,250 224,730 197,510 574,490 2,411,820	3,599,610	- - 1,074,810 107,560	1,182,370	2,417,240	

Annex 4(h)

Planning & Economic Development

		iginal £		6,421,050			770,140	5,650,910	2,082,000	
		2006/07 Original £	1,392,890 4,932,470 95,690			716,390 53,750			I	
Portiolio Programme 2006/07	Portfolio Holder - Councillor Derek Jacobs	Revenue Expenditure	Environmental Health Waste Collection & Street Cleansing Environmental Initiatives	- Net Expenditure (see Annex 3)	Service Generated Income	Government Subsidies Rents from Dwellings Miscellaneous Rents, Trading Operations etc Fees and Charges Interest on Mortgages and Investments Grants and Reimbursements by other Bodies	974,200 Total Income	4,995,220 To be met from Government Grant and Local Taxation	808,000 <b>Capital Expenditure (see Annex 5)</b>	
2	Portfolio	evised £		5,969,420			974,200	4,995,220	808,000	
		2005/06 Revised £	1,303,570 4,598,170 67,680			731,820 242,380			I	I
		2005/06 Original £	1,257,540 4,422,750 93,900	5,774,190		- - 703,450 308,120	1,011,570	4,762,620	871,000	
		20 بر	1,25 4,42 9;							

Environmental Protection

Portfolio Programme 2006/07

Page 45

Annex 4(i)

	Driginal £		470,740	320,740	150,000			150,000		
	2006/07 Original £	470,740	1				- - 150,000		T	
Portfolio Programme 2006/07 Internal Trading Organisations	Revenue Expenditure	Housing Maintenance Fleet Operations	492,230 Total Expenditure	323,950 Income from Internal Charges	Net Expenditure (see Annex 3)	Service Generated Income	Government Subsidies Rents from Dwellings Miscellaneous Rents, Trading Operations etc Fees and Charges Interest on Mortgages and Investments Grants and Reimbursements by other Bodies Contribution from/(to) DSO Reserves	Total Income	To be met from Government Grant and Local Taxation	Capital Expenditure (see Annex 5)
<u>د د</u>	Revised £		492,230	323,950	168,280			168,280		
	2005/06 Revised £	492,230 -			I		- - 168,280		I	"
	2005/06 Original :		466,590	466,590						
	2005/06 £	466,590 -								

Annex 4(j)

## Portfolio Programme 2006/07

### Non Service Budgets

2005/06 Original	2005/06 Revised			2006/07 Original	
Total £	Total £	Revenue Expenditure	General Fund £	Housing Revenue £	Total £
(29,570)	(27,240)	(27,240) Discounts and Interest on Investments	(26,050)		(26,050)
(35,246,920) 4,655,300	(37,120,460 4,847,080	(37,120,460) Noti Fortion Netated Income (37,120,460) Asset Management Revenue Account 4,847,080 Contribution (from)/to Capital Reserves	(3,914,520) (2,214,510)	(35,698,000) 7,463,000	(433,000) (39,612,520) 5,248,490
(30,621,190)	(33,125,620)	· <u>(</u>	(6,588,080)	(28,235,000)	(34,823,080)
24,893,000	27,062,000	27,062,000 Transferred to Housing Summary	ı	28,235,000	28,235,000
(5,728,190)	(6,063,620)		(6,588,080)		(6,588,080)
27,986	328,586	328,586 Contribution (from)/to Revenue Reserves			389,964
939,000 150,000 (922,000)	243,000 150,000 (160,000)	243,000 FRS 17 Adjustment 150,000 Contribution (from)/to Other Reserves (160,000) Contribution from District Development Fund			402,000 150,000 (996,000)
(5,533,204)	(5,502,034)	(5,502,034) Reduction in Amount to be met from Government Grant and Local Taxation	nd Local Taxation		(6,642,116)

	Total £	290,000 1,772,000 9,082,000 552,000 552,000 4,501,000 4,501,000 2,082,000	18,277,000	7,463,000	10,814,000	9,575,000 443,000 796,000	10,814,000
	2006/07 Original Housing Revenue £	7,870,000	7,870,000	- 7,463,000	407,000	377,000 30,000	407,000
	General Fund £	290,000 1,772,000 1,210,000 552,000 4,501,000 2,082,000	10,407,000		10,407,000	9,198,000 443,000 766,000	10,407,000
Capital Programme	Gross Expenditure	People First Community Wellbeing Finance & Performance Management Corporate Support Services Housing Leisure & Health Civil Engineering & Maintenance Planning & Economic Development Environmental Protection Internal Trading Organisations	Total Capital Expenditure	Less: Capital Creditors (Net Movement) Revenue Contributions to Capital	To be met from Capital Resources	<b>Financed by:</b> Capital Receipts Government Grants Other Grants	Total Financing
	2005/06 Revised Total £	- 180,000 809,000 8,049,000 260,000 634,000 634,000 808,000	10,740,000	- 6,664,000	4,076,000	3,460,000 306,000 310,000	4,076,000
	Housing Revenue £	7,539,000	7,539,000	- 6,664,000	875,000	845,000 30,000	875,000
	General Fund £	- 180,000 809,000 510,000 510,000 634,000 634,000 808,000	3,201,000		3,201,000	2,615,000 306,000 280,000	3,201,000
	2005/06 Original Total £	- 484,000 501,000 9,911,000 1,302,000 1,342,000 871,000	14,412,000	59,000 6,813,000	7,540,000	6,728,000 280,000 532,000	7,540,000
	Housing Revenue £	8,859,000	8,859,000	(36,000) 6,813,000	2,082,000	2,082,000	2,082,000
	General Fund £	- 484,000 501,000 1,052,000 1,303,000 1,342,000 1,342,000 871,000	5,553,000	95,000	5,458,000	4,646,000 280,000 532,000	5,458,000

### Annex 5

## Portfolio Programme 2006/07

# COUNCIL TAX RATES FOR DISTRICT & PARISH/TOWN COUNCILS 2006/07

Authorities	Tax Base No 's	Precept 2006/07	Council Tax Band D	Band A	Band	Band	Band	Band F	Band F	Band	Band H
		сн 1	і - сн	: બ	ı دى	ы (	н сн	I сн	. u	) си	: u
		I	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	ı
District Expenses	53140.9	7,159,687	134.73	89.82	104.79	119.76	134.73	164.67	194.61	224.55	269.46
									-	-	
:											
Abbess, Berners and Beauchamp Roding	198.4	3,500	17.64	101.58	118.51	135.44	152.37	186.23	220.09	253.95	304.74
Buckhurst Hill	5116.3	353,000 176 F00	69.00 20.68	135.82	158.46	181.09	203.73	249.00	294.28	339.55	407.46
Cnigweii Faaina Town	3906.3 4942_0	325,000	23.00 65.76	133.66	155.94	140.32	200.49	245.04	231.11 289.60	334.15	329.22 400.98
Epping Upland	406.6	10,500	25.82	107.03	124.87	142.71	160.55	196.23	231.91	267.58	321.10
Fyfield	413.9	6,600	15.95	100.45	117.20	133.94	150.68	184.16	217.65	251.13	301.36
High Ongar	555.0	8,820	15.89	100.41	117.15	133.88	150.62	184.09	217.56	251.03	301.24
Lambourne	923.1	25,000	27.08	107.87	125.85	143.83	161.81	197.77	233.73	269.68	323.62
Loughton Town	12701.4	570,700	44.93	119.77	139.74	159.70	179.66	219.58	259.51	299.43	359.32
Matching	305.5	6,500	21.28	104.01	121.34	138.68	156.01	190.68	225.35	260.02	312.02
Morefon, Bobbingworth and the Lavers	649.0	10,500	16.18	100.61	117.37	134.14	150.91	184.45	217.98	251.52	301.82
Nateng	2067.1	54,137	26.19	107.28	125.16	143.04	160.92	196.68	232.44	268.20	321.84
North Weald Bassett	2514.2	99,095	39.41	116.09	135.44	154.79	174.14	212.84	251.54	290.23	348.28
Ongan Town	2571.3	121,165	47.12	121.23	141.44	161.64	181.85	222.26	262.67	303.08	363.70
Royddon	1306.8	26,250	20.09	103.21	120.42	137.62	154.82	189.22	223.63	258.03	309.64
Sheering	1315.7	22,500	17.10	101.22	118.09	134.96	151.83	185.57	219.31	253.05	303.66
Stanford Rivers	352.2	10,200	28.96	109.13	127.31	145.50	163.69	200.07	236.44	272.82	327.38
Stapleford Abbotts	492.1	4,610	9.37	96.07	112.08	128.09	144.10	176.12	208.14	240.17	288.20
Stapleford Tawney	56.1	1,200	21.39	104.08	121.43	138.77	156.12	190.81	225.51	260.20	312.24
Theydon Bois	1942.5	60,053	30.92	110.43	128.84	147.24	165.65	202.46	239.27	276.08	331.30
Theydon Garnon	59.9	920	15.36	100.06	116.74	133.41	150.09	183.44	216.80	250.15	300.18
Theydon Mount	103.4	1,178	11.39	97.41	113.65	129.88	146.12	178.59	211.06	243.53	292.24
Waltham Abbey Town	8002.9	680,601	85.04	146.51	170.93	195.35	219.77	268.61	317.45	366.28	439.54
Willingale	239.0	3,600	15.06	99.86	116.50	133.15	149.79	183.08	216.36	249.65	299.58
Town and Parish Total	53140.9	2,582,129	48.59	32.39	37.79	43.19	48.59	59.39	70.19	80.98	97.18
District, Town and Parish Total	53140.9	9,741,816	183.32	122.21	142.58	162.95	183.32	224.06	264.80	305.53	366.64

**ANNEX 6** 

PART A : ANALYSIS OF MAJOR PRECEPTING AUTHORITIES 2006/07

Authorities	Tax Base	Precept	Council Tax	Band	Band	Band	Band	Band	Band	Band	Band
	No.'s	2006/07	Band D	A	В	ပ	D	Ш	ц	ს	I
		£	£	£	£	£	£	£	ъ	ъ	£
Essex County Council	53140.9	51,035,989	960.39	640.26	746.97	853.68	960.39	`	1,387.23	•	1,920.78
Essex Police Authority	53140.9	5,897,046	110.97	73.98	86.31	98.64	110.97		160.29		221.94
Essex Fire Authority	53140.9	3,094,395	58.23	38.82		51.76	58.23	71.17	84.11	97.05	116.46
District Expenses	53140.9	7,159,687	134.73	89.82	104.79	119.76	134.73		194.61		269.46

PART B : SUMMARY - COUNCIL TAX RATES INCLUDING ALL PRECEPTS 2006/07

Abbess, Berners and Beauchamp Roding	198.4	3,500	17.64	854.64	997.08	1,139.52	1,281.96	1,566.84	1,851.72	2,136.60	2,563.92
Buckhurst Hill	5116.3	353,000	00.69	888.88	1,037.03	1,185.17	1,333.32	1,629.61	1,925.91	2,222.20	2,666.64
Chigwell	5906.5	176,500	29.88	862.80	1,006.60	1,150.40	1,294.20	1,581.80	1,869.40	2,157.00	2,588.40
Epping Town	4942.0	325,000	65.76	886.72	1,034.51	1,182.29	1,330.08	1,625.65	1,921.23	2,216.80	2,660.16
Epping Upland	406.6	10,500	25.82	860.09	1,003.44	1,146.79	1,290.14	1,576.84	1,863.54	2,150.23	2,580.28
Fyfield	413.9	6,600	15.95	853.51	995.77	1,138.02	1,280.27	1,564.77	1,849.28	2,133.78	2,560.54
High Ongar	555.0	8,820	15.89	853.47	995.72	1,137.96	1,280.21	1,564.70	1,849.19	2,133.68	2,560.42
Landadourne	923.1	25,000	27.08	860.93	1,004.42	1,147.91	1,291.40	1,578.38	1,865.36	2,152.33	2,582.80
Loughton Town	12701.4	570,700	44.93	872.83	1,018.31	1,163.78	1,309.25	1,600.19	1,891.14	2,182.08	2,618.50
Mat ching	305.5	6,500	21.28	857.07	999.91	1,142.76	1,285.60	1,571.29	1,856.98	2,142.67	2,571.20
Morédon, Bobbingworth and the Lavers	649.0	10,500	16.18	853.67	995.94	1,138.22	1,280.50	1,565.06	1,849.61	2,134.17	2,561.00
Nazeing	2067.1	54,137	26.19	860.34	1,003.73	1,147.12	1,290.51	1,577.29	1,864.07	2,150.85	2,581.02
North Weald Bassett	2514.2	<b>99,095</b>	39.41	869.15	1,014.01	1,158.87	1,303.73	1,593.45	1,883.17	2,172.88	2,607.46
Ongar Town	2571.3	121,165	47.12	874.29	1,020.01	1,165.72	1,311.44	1,602.87	1,894.30	2,185.73	2,622.88
Roydon	1306.8	26,250	20.09	856.27	998.99	1,141.70	1,284.41	1,569.83	1,855.26	2,140.68	2,568.82
Sheering	1315.7	22,500	17.10	854.28	996.66	1,139.04	1,281.42	1,566.18	1,850.94	2,135.70	2,562.84
Stanford Rivers	352.2	10,200	28.96	862.19	1,005.88	1,149.58	1,293.28	1,580.68	1,868.07	2,155.47	2,586.56
Stapleford Abbotts	492.1	4,610	9.37	849.13	990.65	1,132.17	1,273.69	1,556.73	1,839.77	2,122.82	2,547.38
Stapleford Tawney	56.1	1,200	21.39	857.14	1,000.00	1,142.85	1,285.71	1,571.42	1,857.14	2,142.85	2,571.42
Theydon Bois	1942.5	60,053	30.92	863.49	1,007.41	1,151.32	1,295.24	1,583.07	1,870.90	2,158.73	2,590.48
Theydon Garnon	59.9	920	15.36	853.12	995.31	1,137.49	1,279.68	1,564.05	1,848.43	2,132.80	2,559.36
Theydon Mount	103.4	1,178	11.39	850.47	992.22	1,133.96	1,275.71	1,559.20	1,842.69	2,126.18	2,551.42
Waltham Abbey Town	8002.9	680,601	85.04	899.57	1,049.50	1,199.43	1,349.36	1,649.22	1,949.08	2,248.93	2,698.72
Willingale	239.0	3,600	15.06	852.92	995.07	1,137.23	1,279.38	1,563.69	1,847.99	2,132.30	2,558.76

ANNEX 7

### GENERAL FUND FOUR YEAR FORECAST 2006/07 - 2009/10

ORIGINAL 2005/06	REVISED 2005/06		FORECAST 2006/07	FORECAST 2007/08	FORECAST 2008/09	FORECAST 2009/10
£'000	£'000	NET REVENUE EXPENDITURE	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000
14,156	13,687	Continuing Services Budget	14,485	15,716	17,217	17,766
4	118	CSB - Growth Items	773	1,000	31	0
14,160	13,805	Total C.S.B	15,258	16,716	17,248	17,766
922	1,310	One - off Expenditure	1,655	441	155	14
150	150	Contribution to/from Insurance reserve	150	150	150	150
15,232	15,265	Total Net Operating Expenditure	17,063	17,307	17,553	17,930
-922	-1,310	Contribution to/from (-) DDF Balances	-1,655	-441	-155	-14
-26	329	Contribution to/from (-) Balances	390	-346	-377	-470
14,284	14,284	Net Budget Requirement	15,798	16,520	17,021	17,446
		FINANCING				
6,887	6,887	Government Support (NNDR+RSG)	9,123	9,350	9,537	9,728
412	412	RSG Floor Gains/(-Losses)	-490	-189	-58	-12
7,299	7,299	Total External Funding	8,633	9,161	9,479	9,716
6,951	6,951	District Precept	7,160	7,339	7,522	7,710
34	34	Collection Fund Adjustment	5	20	20	20
14,284	14,284	To be met from Government Grants and Local Tax Payers	15,798	16,520	17,021	17,446
	131.49	Band D Council Tax	134.73	138.10	141.55	145.09
		Percentage Increase %	2.46	2.50	2.50	2.50

### GENERAL FUND FOUR YEAR FORECAST 2006/07 - 2009/10

REVISED 2005/06		FORECAST 2006/07	FORECAST 2007/08	FORECAST 2008/09	FORECAST 2009/10
£'000	REVENUE BALANCES	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000
5,488	Balance B/forward	5,817	6,207	5,861	5,484
329	Surplus/Deficit(-) for year	390	-346	-377	-470
5,817	Balance C/Forward	6,207	5,861	5,484	5,014
	DISTRICT DEVELOPMENT FUND				
2,457	Balance B/forward	2,297	1,301	1,192	1,037
1,150	Transfer In	659	332	0	0
-1,310	Transfer Out	-1,655	-441	-155	-14
2,297	Balance C/Forward	1,301	1,192	1,037	1,023
	CAPITAL FUND (inc Cap Receipts)				
21,241	Balance B/forward	19,416	10,986	8,730	8,198
	New Usable Receipts New Transistional Usable Receipts	718 427	713 0	690 0	678 0
	CR Used to Fund Capital Expenditure - Transistional Relief Receipts - Other Capital Receipts	-927 -8,648	-500 -2,469	0 -1,222	0 -932
19,416	Balance C/Forward	10,986	8,730	8,198	7,944
27,530	TOTAL BALANCES	18,494	15,783	14,719	13,981

Report on the Council's Prudential Indicators for 2006/07 to 2008/09 and the Treasury Management Strategy for 2006/07

### Recommendations;

1. The Council is recommended to adopt the prudential indicators and limits for 2006/07 to 2008/09 contained within the report. The main indicators are:

	2004/05 Actual	2005/06 Revised	2006/07 Estimated	2007/08 Estimated	2008/09 Estimated
Capital Expenditure	£10.842m	£10.740m	£18.277m	£9.932m	£5.638m
Capital financing requirement	£1.447m	£1.447m	£1.447m	£1.447m	£1.447m
Authorised limit for external debt	£3m	£3m	£3m	£3m	£3m
Operational boundary for external debt	£5m	£5m	£5m	£5m	£5m
Ratio of financing costs to net revenue stream – HRA	-7.15 %	-7.19 %	-6.88 %	-6.57 %	-6.57 %
Ratio of financing costs to net revenue stream – non-HRA	-7.61 %	-7.65 %	-7.32 %	-6.98 %	-6.98 %
Incremental impact of capital investment decisions on the Band D Council Tax	N/a	N/a	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Incremental impact of capital investment decisions on housing rents levels	N/a	N/a	£2.92	£1.62	£2.20

### 2. Approve the treasury management strategy for 2006/07, and the treasury prudential indicators;

Exposure to fixed/variable interest rates	2006/07 Upper	2007/08 Upper	2008/09 Upper
Limits on fixed interest rates	75%	75%	75%
Limits on variable interest rates	75%	75%	75%

Maturity Structure of fixed inte	rest rate b	orrowing				
	200	6/07	200	7/08	200	8/09
	Lower	Upper	Lower	Upper	Lower	Upper
Under 12 months	0%		0%		0%	
12 months to 2 years	0%		0%		0%	
2 years to 5 years	0%		0%		0%	
5 years to 10 years	0%		0%		0%	
10 years and above	0%		0%		0%	
Maximum principal sums invested > 364 days	£1	5 m	£15	5 m	£15	5 m

### 3. Approve the investment strategy 2006/07 contained in the treasury management strategy, and the detailed criteria included within it, specifically approving:

- The criteria for specified investments
- The criteria for non-specified investments

### INTRODUCTION

- 1 As Members are aware, the Local Government Act 2003 changed the rules surrounding capital finance. From 1 April 2004, the Council has had the ability to undertake "prudential borrowing" for capital purposes. The Prudential Code sets out a framework for self-regulation of capital spending and treasury management, which requires the maintenance of specified indicators to demonstrate that the chosen policies are being adhered to.
- 2 This report shows the Council's prudential indicators for 2006/07 2008/09 and sets out the expected treasury operations for this period. It contains three key Council reporting requirements:
  - The reporting of the prudential indicators as required by the CIPFA Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities;
  - The treasury strategy in accordance with the CIPFA Code of Practice on Treasury Management;
  - The investment strategy (in accordance with the ODPM's investment guidance).

The outturn for the prudential indicators set for 2004/05 was reported to Cabinet on 5 September 2005.

### The Prudential Code

- 3 The key objective of the Code is to ensure that capital investment plans are affordable, prudent and sustainable, or in exceptional circumstances, to demonstrate that there is danger of not ensuring this, so that timely remedial action can be taken.
- 4 The Code sets out a number of "Prudential Indicators" that the Council must adopt and monitor. The indicators are purely for internal use by the Council and are not to be used as comparators between councils, as any comparisons would be meaningless and potentially misleading. The prudential indicators are rather to support and demonstrate the results of local choice in a publicly accountable manner. As such the Code will underpin the Council's Corporate Governance arrangements.
- 5 The primary change was that the former system of credit approvals (BCAs and SCAs) was abolished, leaving no restriction on capital investment (subject to Government reserve powers to restrict borrowing for national economic reasons). Instead, capital investment is supported through Supported Capital Expenditure (Revenue), which will include the single capital pot element (for the BCA portion) and a separate programme element (for the SCA portion).
- 6 Members' involvement in the prudential indicator setting and monitoring process is essential in order that the Council can demonstrate that capital expenditure plans are affordable, external borrowing is prudent and sustainable and that treasury decisions are taken in accordance with good practice.
- 7 To support capital investment decisions and demonstrate sound treasury management practice, the Prudential Code requires the Council to agree and monitor a minimum of seventeen prudential indicators. For housing authorities such as Epping Forest District Council these are separated for the HRA and non-HRA capital investment. The indicators cover prudence, capital expenditure, external debt, affordability and treasury management. They are listed and numbered below:

### Prudence

• **P1** Net borrowing and the Capital Financing Requirement (CFR)

### **Capital Expenditure**

• **P2** Estimates of capital expenditure (split between GF and HRA)

- **P3** Actual capital expenditure (split between GF and HRA)
- **P4** Estimates of the Capital Financing Requirement (for both GF and HRA)
- **P5** Actual Capital Financing Requirement (for both GF and HRA)

### **External Debt**

- **P6** External debt: Authorised Limit
- P7 External debt: Operational Boundary
- **P8** Actual external debt

### Affordability

- **P9** Estimates of ratio of financing costs to net revenue stream (separate GF and HRA ratios)
- **P10** Actual ratio of financing costs to net revenue stream (separate GF and HRA ratios)
- **P11** Estimates of the incremental impact of capital investment decisions on the Council Tax
- **P12** Estimates of the incremental impact of capital investment decisions on housing rents

### **Treasury Management**

- P13 Adoption of the Treasury Management Code of Practice
- **P14** Interest rate exposures: fixed rates
- **P15** Interest rate exposures: variable rates
- P16 Maturity structure of borrowing
- **P17** Total principal sum invested for any period longer than 364 days
- 8 Local indicators may be prepared if they aid interpretation, but for the purposes of this report the mandatory indicators alone have been calculated. After careful consideration of the matter, the Head of Finance has drawn the conclusion that additional local indicators would be of little practical value.

### CAPITAL EXPENDITURE AND THE CAPITAL FINANCING REQUIREMENT INDICATORS

- 9 One of the major changes introduced by the Code is the calculation of the Capital Financing Requirement (CFR). This figure represents the Council's underlying need to borrow for a capital purpose, and the change year on year will be influenced by the level of capital expenditure in the year and the means by which it is financed.
- 10 The expected movement in the CFR over the next three years is dependent on the level of supported and unsupported capital expenditure decisions taken during the budgeting cycle. The unsupported element is the new capital expenditure freedom allowed under the Prudential Code. It is within this element that the Council has the freedom to enter into projects such as spend to save schemes (which may have previously been limited by the availability of credit approvals), or decisions to allocate additional resource from revenue to capital to enable service enhancements.
- 11 There are two main limiting factors on the Council's ability to undertake unsupported capital expenditure.
  - Firstly, the Council itself must ensure that adequate revenue resources are available to support in full the implications of capital expenditure plans, including borrowing costs and running costs. In other words, the Council must be able to afford the full cost implications of the unsupported capital expenditure, including the revenue costs of running the finished project throughout its lifetime.
  - Secondly, central government has powers under the Local Government Act 2003 to restrict the borrowing and capital expenditure of either an individual council or all local authorities. These powers are intended to ensure that any individual council does not

undertake capital expenditure which central government assesses to be unaffordable, and that the total of all councils' plans do not jeopardise national economic policies. These powers have not been used to date.

12 The Council's expectations for the CFR over the next three financial years (Indicator P4) are highlighted in columns 4, 5 and 6 in Table 1 below, with the associated expectation for funding. The equivalent CFR actuals for 2004/05 (Indicator P5) and comparators for 2004/05 are shown for information purposes in columns 2 and 3 respectively. Included also are the related capital expenditure figures for each year, split between supported and unsupported spending, and the expected external debt for each year.

	2004/05	2005/06 Revised	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09
	Actual	estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate
Capital Expenditure	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000
Supported Spend	1,288	862	0	0	0
Unsupported Spend	9,554	9,878	18,277	9,932	5,638
Total Spend	10,842	10,740	18,277	9,932	5,638
Financed by:					
Borrowing	0	0	0	0	0
Capital Receipts: Transitional					
Relief	2,195	895	927	500	0
Capital Receipts: Other	1,509	2,617	8,648	2,469	1,222
Capital Grants	451	564	1,239	210	210
Revenue	7,584	6,664	7,463	6,753	4,206
Total Financed	11,739	10,740	18,277	9,932	5,638
Total Unfinanced brought forward	-897	0	0	0	0
Total Spend	10,842	10,740	18,277	9,932	5,638
<b>Capital Financing Requirements</b>					
CFR - Non Housing (P4 & P5)	24,250	24,250	24,250	24,250	24,250
CFR - Housing (P4 & P5)	-22,803	-22,803	-22,803	-22,803	-22,803
Total CFR	1,447	1,447	1,447	1,447	1,447
Net Movement in CFR	0	0	0	0	0
External Debt					
Borrowing (PWLB)	0	0	0	0	0
Other Long Term Liabilities	0	0	0	0	0
Total Debt as at 31 March	0	0	0	0	0
Less debt transferred to other LAs	-635	-610	-585	-559	-534
Borrowing less Transferred Debt	-635	-610	-585	-559	-534

Table 1: Capital expenditure programme and its effect on CFR

### 13 Members are asked to approve the estimates of the CFR indicators P4 and P5 for the years 2005/06 to 2008/09 as highlighted in Table 1 above.

- 14 A key risk of this indicator is that the level of estimates relating to sources of funding, such as capital receipts, may be subject to change over the years 2006/07 to 2008/09.
- 15 The total CFR figures in the table above indicate that Epping Forest will not need to use its new freedom to borrow to finance the capital schemes currently included in the approved Capital Programme over the next three years. The Council repaid its remaining debt with the PWLB on 29 March 2004 and is now officially debt free.
- 16 Table 2 below shows the approved Capital Programme analysed between the General Fund and HRA, and between each portfolio. Forecasts for 2006/07 to 2008/09 are highlighted in columns 4, 5 and 6 (Indicator P2). The actuals for 2004/05 (Indicator P3)

are shown for information purposes in column 2.

	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09
Portfolio	Actual	Revised	Estimated	Estimated	Estimated
		Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast
	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000
Finance & Performance					
Management	0	180	290	350	0
Corporate Support Services & IT	447	809	1,772	1,587	515
Community Wellbeing	14	0	0	0	0
Leisure	345	260	552	50	50
Environmental Protection	99	808	2,082	0	0
Civil Engineering & Maintenance	306	634	4,501	162	337
Housing GF	387	510	1,210	1,000	500
Total General Fund	1,598	3,201	10,407	3,149	1,407
HRA	9,194	7,486	7,820	6,733	4,186
Housing DLO	50	53	50	50	50
Total Housing Revenue Account	9,631	7,539	7,870	6,783	4,236
TOTAL	10,842	10,740	18,277	9,932	5,638

Table 2: Forecast Capital Programme for 2004/05 to 2007/08

17 Members are asked to confirm their approval of the capital programme shown in Table 2 above, in line with the requirements of Prudential Indicators P2 and P3.

### Comparison of the net borrowing position against CFR

- 18 The first key control over the Council's activity is to ensure that over the medium term, net borrowing will be for capital purposes alone. The Council needs to ensure that net external borrowing does not, except in the short term, exceed the total of capital financing requirement in the preceding year plus the estimates of any additional capital financing requirement for 2006/07 and the next two financial years.
- 19 Table 3 below shows the actual borrowing and investment position of Epping Forest as at 31 March 2005 and the estimated position at the close of the current financial year and the next three financial years.

<u> Table 3:</u>	<u>Comparison o</u>	<u>f net borrowi</u>	<u>ng against CFR</u>

	2004/05 Actual £000		Estimate	Estimate	2008/09 Estimate £000
Gross Borrowing Less debt transferred to other	0	0	0	0	0
Las	-635	-610	-585	-559	-534
External Debt Less Transferred Debt	-635	-610	-585	-559	-534
Investments	-44,600	-43,000	-43,000	-40,000	-30,000
Net Borrowing (P1a)	-45,235	-45,610	-42,585	-34,559	-30,534
Total CFR (P1b)	1,447	1,447	1,447	1,447	1,447

- 20 The table illustrates that this Council is forecast to be a net lending authority up to the end of 2008/09, with investments exceeding borrowing by a figure (P1a) much higher than the Council's total CFR (P1b). Current projections indicate that the position will remain fairly constant given the anticipated level of capital receipt generation and the level of capital expenditure in the approved Capital Programme.
- 21 Members are asked to agree that the Council has complied with the requirement of indicator P1 to keep net borrowing below the relevant CFR in 2004/05, and that no difficulties in this respect are envisaged for the financial years 2005/06 to 2008/09.

### **External Debt**

- 22 Prudential Indicators P6 and P7 control the overall level of external debt:
  - The authorised limit (P6) This represents the limit beyond which borrowing is prohibited. It reflects the level of borrowing which, while not desired, could be afforded in the short term even though it may not be sustainable in the longer tem. It is the expected maximum borrowing need with some headroom for unexpected movements. The authorized limit of £5m set below is affordable in the medium term; however, the Council's strategy at present is to maintain its debt-free status, and its treasury operations are aimed at avoiding any need for external borrowing.

	2005/06 (Revised) £'000	2006/07 (Estimate) £'000	2007/08 (Estimate) £'000	2008/09 (Estimate) £'000
Borrowing	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000
Other long term liabilities	0	0	0	0
Total	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000

### Table 4: The authorised limit for external debt (P6)

• The operational boundary (P7) –This indicator is based on the probable maximum amount of external debt during the course of the year; it is not a limit and actual borrowing could vary around this boundary for short times during the year. It should act as an indicator to ensure the authorised limit is not breached.

	2005/06 (Revised) £'000	2006/07 (Estimate) £'000	2007/08 (Estimate) £'000	2008/09 (Estimate) £'000
Borrowing	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000
Other long term liabilities	0	0	0	0
Total	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000
Actual external debt (P8)	0	0	0	0

### Table 5: The operational limit for external debt (P7)

- 23 Actual external debt is shown in the final row of Table 5 above (Indicator P8) for information only.
- 24 The Council is asked to approve the above authorised and operational limits in line with Indicators P6 and P7 respectively.

### **Affordability Prudential Indicators**

25 In addition to the overall capital and control of borrowing indicators given above, prudential indicators are also required to assess the affordability of the capital investment plans. These provide an indication of the impact of the capital investment plans on the overall Council finances. The Council is asked to approve the following indicators:

### Ratio of financing costs to net revenue stream

26 This indicator aims to identify the trend in the cost of capital (borrowing costs net of interest and investment income) against the net revenue stream. The ratios for Epping Forest given below are based on estimates of financing costs and net revenue streams including current commitments and proposals as per the budget report.

	2004/05 Actual	2005/06 Revised estimate	2006/07 Estimated Forecast	2007/08 Estimated Forecast	2008/09 Estimated Forecast
	%	%	%	%	%
HRA (P9 & P10)	-7.15	-7.19	-6.88	-6.57	-6.57
Non HRA (P9 & P10)	-7.61	-7.65	-7.32	-6.98	-6.98

### Table 6: Ratio of financing costs to net revenue stream

27 The ratios are negative because the Council receives investment income and interest on the transferred debts, and no longer has debt financing costs. The proportion of the Council's total interest receivable which has been attributed to the HRA is based on the balance between the HRA and General Fund CFRs.

### 28 Members are asked to set the ratio of capital financing costs to net revenue stream Indicators P9 and P10 at the levels highlighted in columns 4, 5 and 6 above.

Estimates of the incremental impact of capital investment decisions on the band D Council Tax

29 This indicator aims to identify the trend in the revenue cost of proposed changes in the capital programme and its impact on the Council Tax. The calculation is based on a comparison of the current Capital Programme to that agreed as part of the previous budget cycle. The figures below are based on the proportion of capital financing derived from revenue contributions. As this has not changed between the 2004/05 and 2005/06 capital programmes, the effect on the Council Tax is nil.

### Table 7: Incremental impact of capital investment decisions on the Band D Council Tax

	Proposed Budget 2006/07	Forward Projection 2007/08	Forward Projection 2008/09
	£	£	£
Council Tax – Band D	0.00	0.00	0.00

Estimates of the incremental impact of capital investment decisions on the housing rent levels

30 Similar to the Council tax calculation, this indicator identifies the trend in the cost of proposed changes in the HRA capital programme, expressed as a change in weekly rent levels. The actual rents paid by tenants will not change as a result of capital investment decisions as the Government's rent restructuring regime fixes rents based on local earnings and property values. However the balance on the HRA will change and expressing the variation in the way prescribed at least shows the effect of capital investment decisions on HRA Revenue. The variation relates to revenue contribution to capital outgoings (RCCO), which has a direct effect on revenue.

### Table 8: Incremental impact of capital investment decisions on housing rent levels

	Proposed Budget 2006/07	Forward Projection 2007/08	Forward Projection 2008/09	
	£	£	£	
Weekly Housing Rents	2.92	1.62	2.20	

31 Members are asked to approve the levels of the incremental impact on Council Tax and Housing Rents of the existing Capital Programme as set out in the tables above.

### TREASURY MANAGEMENT STRATEGY 2006/07 - 2008/09

- 32 The treasury management service is an important part of the overall financial management of the Council's affairs. Its importance has increased as a result of the freedoms provided by the Code. It covers the borrowing and investment activities and the effective management of the associated risks.
- 33 Treasury activities are strictly regulated by statutory requirements and by a professional code of practice (the CIPFA Code of Practice on Treasury Management). The Council adopted the Code on 22 April 2002, and as a result adopted a treasury management policy statement (approved by Cabinet on 18 October 2004). This adoption meets the requirements of Prudential Indicator 13.
- 34 The prudential indicators described in previous sections consider the affordability and impact of capital expenditure decisions. The treasury service covers the effective funding of these decisions, and there are specific treasury prudential indicators included in this strategy which need approval.
- 35 In compliance with the Code, an annual report to Cabinet is made on the annual treasury strategy, outlining the expected treasury activity for the forthcoming three financial years. A further report is presented after each year-end, detailing the actual activity for the year. A key requirement of the strategy report is to explain both the risks associated with the treasury service and the management of those risks.

### Treasury Management Prudential Indicators and Limits on Activity

- 36 The introduction of the Prudential Code saw the replacement of the s45 limits imposed by the Local Government and Housing Act 1989 with four new prudential indicators:
  - Upper limits on variable rate exposure. This indicator identifies a maximum limit for variable interest rates based upon the debt position net of investments.
  - Upper limits on fixed rate exposure. Similar to the previous indicator this covers a maximum limit on fixed interest rates.
  - Maturity structures of borrowing. These gross limits are set to reduce the Council's exposure to large fixed rate sums falling due for refinancing.
  - Total principal funds invested for periods longer than 364 days. These limits are set to reduce the need for early sale of an investment, and are based on the availability of investments after each year-end.
- 37 The purpose of these prudential indicators is to contain the activity of the treasury function within certain limits, thereby reducing the risk or likelihood of an adverse movement in interest rates impacting negatively on the Council's overall financial position. However, a conscious effort has been made to allow for flexibility and to avoid being too restrictive as this may impair the opportunities to maximize returns.

### 38 Members are asked to approve the following limits as required by Indicators P14 and P15:

Table 9: Limits on fixed and variable	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09
interest rate exposure on net debt	Upper	Upper	Upper
	%	%	%
Limits on fixed interest rate exposure (P14)	75 %	75 %	75 %
Limits on variable interest rate exposure (P15)	75 %	75 %	75 %

39 The Council is obliged to set for the forthcoming financial year both upper and lower limits with respect to the maturity structure of its borrowing. The limits represent the amount of projected borrowing that is fixed rate maturing in each period as a percentage of total projected borrowing that is fixed rate.

### 40 Members are asked to set the limits in accordance with Indicator P16 at the levels shown in Table 10 below:

Table 10: Limits on fixed rate debt exposure	Upper Limit %	Lower Limit %	
Under 12 Months	20	0	
12 Months and within 24 Months	20	0	
24 Months and within 5 Years	50	0	
5 Years and within 10 Years	75	0	
10 Years and above	90	25	

- 41 Following the Council's early repayment of its external debt on 29 March 2004 and the subsequent review of its treasury management operation, the decision was taken to extend the Council's maximum investment term from 364 days to five years. This decision was ratified by Council on 14 December 2004, and members were asked at the same time to change Indicator 17 from zero to £10,000,000, to enable a maximum amount of £10 m to be placed in investments with terms of one to five years.
- 42 Since this indicator was changed, the Council's treasury service has made one investment of £5m for a term of greater than 364 days. The rate obtained for this deal was 0.14% higher than the best rates on offer at the time an additional £7,000 interest over one year and 0.29% higher than the current rate offered for investments of 364 day terms.
- 43 Members are asked to increase Indicator P17 to £15,000,000 for the financial year 2006/07, to allow sufficient flexibility to invest any additional funds resulting from sales of land.
- 44 The Council's detailed treasury position is set out in Table 11 below. Members should note that the final line is negative, as the Council is a net investor.

		31 March 2005	Rate	31 March 2006	Rate
		Actual £'000	%	Estimate £'000	%
Fixed rate debt	PWLB	0		0	
	Market	0		0	
Variable rate debt	PWLB	0		0	
	Market	0		0	
Gross external debt		0		0	
Less transferred debt		-635	4.50	-610	4.50
Total Net Debt		-635		-610	
Fixed Investments		-44,600	4.80	-45,000	4.55
Variable Investments		-1,500	4.50	-3,000	4.50
Total Investments		-46,100		-48,000	
Net Investments		-46,735		-48,610	

### TABLE 11: Net debt and net investment position

45 Regardless of whether the Council is a net investor or borrower, interest rates represent a risk, as they affect both borrowing costs and investment returns. This Council employs Butlers, the treasury consultants, to advise on the treasury strategy, to provide economic data and interest rate forecasts, to assist planning and thereby to reduce the impact of unforeseen adverse movements. Their latest estimates of expected movement in interest rates are as follows:

### TABLE 12: Forecast movements in average interest rates

	Base Rate %	5 year Gilt %	20 year Gilt %
2005/06	4.6	4.3	4.5
2006/07	4.3	4.4	4.6
2007/08	4.5	4.6	4.7
2008/09	4.8	4.8	4.7

### (Source; Butlers, November 2005)

### Borrowing and Debt Strategy 2006/07 – 2008/09

- 46 The Monetary Policy Committee announced the long-awaited cut in base rates following its August meeting. However the downturn in the UK interest rates cycle is expected to be prolonged and shallow with the lowest Bank of England Base Rate expected to be around 4.25% (it is currently 4.5%). GDP growth has been substantially weaker than anticipated as the slowdown in house price inflation and higher debt servicing costs combined to undermine consumer spending growth. Activity has remained weak to the end of 2005, but is expected to stage a recovery in 2006.
- 47 The Bank of England believes that the rebound in consumer activity, together with continued strength in public sector spending and a rise in corporate investment, will encourage higher growth in the future. This is open to debate and it is clear that the forecasting of interest rates in the current climate is difficult and a cautious approach is needed in treasury activity.
- 48 The international economic situation creates further uncertainty in the forecast. UK longer term rates have been influenced by US interest rates, which currently appear to be unrealistically low, and there continues to be a risk of an upward shift in rates. The exact timing of this is, however, difficult to predict.

### Investment Policy 2006/07 - 2008/09

- 49 The repeal of Part IV of the Local Government and Housing Act 1989 on 1 April 2004 ended the approved investment regulations which restricted local authorities' choice of investment instruments. In common with the relaxation of borrowing controls in the prudential system, the current regime of investment regulation is governed by guidance from the Office of the Deputy Prime Minister. The most recent guidance was issued by the ODPM on 15 December 2003.
- 50 The key intention of the guidance is to maintain the current requirement for councils to invest prudently, and to ensure that priority is given to security and liquidity before yield. In order to facilitate this objective the guidance requires this Council to have regard to the Treasury Management in the Public Services: Code of Practice and Cross-Sectoral Guidance Notes. This Council adopted the Code on 22 April 2002 and has applied its principles to all investment activity.
- 51 The key requirements of both the Code and the ODPM guidance are to set an annual investment strategy covering the identification and approval of the following:
  - The strategy guidelines for decision making on investment decisions, particularly non-specified investments.
  - The principles to be used to determine the amount of funds which can be committed for more than a year, and the minimum to be held in short term investments.
  - Specified investments the Council will use. These are high security (i.e. high credit rating, to a level defined by the Council), and high liquidity investments in sterling, with a maturity of no more than five years.
  - Non-specified investments, clarifying the greater risk implications, identifying the

general types of investment that may be used and a limit to the overall amount invested in any particular category that can be held at any time.

### **Investment Policy of the Council**

- 52 The main principle governing the Council's investment criteria is the security and liquidity of its investments, although the yield or return on the investment will be a consideration, subject to adequate security and liquidity.
- 53 The Council expects to maintain core investment balances of £25m, although this may rise on occasions due to cash flow reasons. The Council will therefore carefully balance the use of short term and specified investments. At least £25m (or 100%) of the core investment balance and any cash flow investments will be maintained as short term or specified investments.
- 54 Expectations on shorter-term interest rates, on which the majority of investment decisions are based, show a strong likelihood of decreasing. The Council's investment decisions are based on comparisons between the movements priced into market rates against the Council's and advisors' own forecasts. The Head of Finance, under delegated powers, will undertake the most appropriate form of investments depending on the prevailing interest rates at the time, taking into account the risks shown in the forecast above. All investments will be made in accordance with the Council's investment policies and prevailing legislation and regulations.
- 55 The Council proposes to invest in specified investments. These are investments maturing within one year with all payments and repayments made in sterling. They are low risk assets where the possibility of loss of principal or investment income is very low. These would include investments with:
  - I. The UK Government (such as the Debt Management Office, UK Treasury Bills or a gilt with less than one year to maturity).
  - II. A local authority.
  - III. An investment scheme that has been awarded a high credit rating by a credit rating agency (currently AAA Money Market Fund).
  - IV. A body that has been awarded a high credit rating by a credit rating agency, such as a bank or building society.
- 56 Members are requested to confirm their approval of the following specified investments for this council:
  - All Category I investments;
  - All Category II investments;
  - For category III money market funds rated AAA by Standard and Poor's, Moody's or Fitch rating agencies.
  - For category IV bodies with a minimum rating of A-1, P-1 and F1 as rated by Standard and Poor's, Moody's or Fitch rating agencies respectively.
- 57 Non-specified investments are any other type of investment (i.e. not defined as specified above). These would include sterling investments with:
  - V. Securities admitted to the Official List of The Stock Exchange, which are guaranteed by the UK Government (such as supranational bonds). These are fixed income bonds although the value of the bond may rise or fall before maturity and losses may accrue if the bond is sold before maturity.
  - VI. Gilt edged securities with a maturity of greater than one year.
  - VII. Institutions not meeting the basic security requirements under the specified investments.

- VIII. A body that has been awarded a high credit rating by a credit rating agency (such as a bank or building society), for deposits with a maturity of greater than one year.
- 58 In the treasury management strategy for 2004/05 it was agreed that non-specified investments would not form part of the Council's investment portfolio. However, as a result of the review of the Treasury Management function in 2004, it was agreed that the Council would extend its approved counterparty listing to include any building society which met the following criteria:
  - Listed in the top thirty building societies by capitalization size, as rated by Butler's Building Society Guide (updated annually). This Guide is regarded as the definitive guide to building society rankings by treasury advisors.
  - A minimum capitalization limit of £500m.
- 59 In order to protect the Council further, it was agreed that there should be restrictions on the maximum term and amount of investment in building societies;
  - A maximum of £5m may be invested in any of the top ten building societies
  - Societies ranked 11<sup>th</sup> to 20<sup>th</sup> should have a maximum investment limit of £3m
  - Approved societies ranked between 21<sup>st</sup> and 30<sup>th</sup> should have a maximum investment limit of £2m
  - Investments in building societies with no credit rating and with assets in excess of £1 billion should be for a maximum term of nine months
  - Investments in building societies with no credit ratings and with assets between £500m and £1bn should be for a maximum term of six months
- 60 The same review concluded that the rising level of investments offered some scope for a limited proportion of funds to be invested for periods longer than one year. It was felt that five years was a reasonable maximum term. On the advice of Butlers, any investment of a term of more than one year would be made only with a counterparty possessing a minimum short term credit rating of A- (Fitch), A3 (Moody's) and A (Standard & Poors).
- 61 Members are requested to confirm that, for the time being, it is intended that nonspecified investments will not form part of the Council's investment portfolio, with the exception of;
  - Building societies meeting the criteria in Point 58 above (subject to the restrictions laid down in Point 59) and
  - A maximum of £15,000,000 invested for terms exceeding one year, subject to the credit rating criteria in Point 60 and a maximum term of five years.
- 62 The credit rating of counterparties will continue to be monitored regularly, as a policy at least monthly. However the Council receives credit rating advice from its advisers, Butlers, as and when ratings change, and counterparties are checked promptly. There will be a minor time delay between rating changes and the Council receiving notification, and on occasion ratings may be downgraded when an investment has already been made. The criteria used are such that a minor downgrading should not affect the full receipt of the principal and interest. Any counterparty failing to meet the criteria will be removed from the list immediately by the Head of Finance, and if required new counterparties which meet the criteria will be added to the list.
- 63 It is not the Council's policy to use external fund managers for all or part of its investment portfolio. The advice given by Butlers in 2005 was that, for portfolios of less than £50m, the charges outweighed the potential income gains and savings. Officers will keep this situation under review.